

Integral Equations and Applications (June 2022)

#### Introduction

Let

 $u = \mathcal{G}(x; \theta(\xi))$ 

and consider the problem of finding  $\theta$ , an input function to a mathematical model, given u an



observation of solution to the model at point x [1].

#### Goals

We aim to:

- Find a representation of  $\theta(\xi)$  that captures the stochasticity in u.
- Learn a low dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{G}$ , the observation operator via transport maps.

### Background

• When  $\theta(\xi)$  is rough and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a forward solver, FEM requires high resolution to capture fine details in u.





						_	_

This leads to computational complications and intractability.

- Techniques such as midpoint (MP), spatial averaging (SA), shape function (SF) and series expansion (SE) are used to *homogenize* the random field [2].
- The method of moments approach easily leads to the long-standing well known unsolved *closure* problem [3].
- When  $\theta(\xi)$  is a random variable and is  $\ll 1, u$  is also amenable to perturbation techniques.
- Monte Carlo sampling is great but we have to wrestle with *burn-out* and slow convergence.

If all existing methods are defied, what then is a way forward?

# **Optimal Upscaling with Transport Maps**

## Chinedu Eleh and Hans-Werner van Wyk

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University

#### **Transport Maps**

- Transport maps are measure preserving transforms.
- Given measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , find a map T s.t.  $T_{\sharp} \mu = \nu$ . i.e., find T s.t.

 $\min_{T} \mathbb{E}[||\xi - T(\xi)||] \quad \text{s. t.} \quad \nu = T_{\sharp}\mu \qquad (1)$ 

• When the measure  $\mu$  has no atoms, problem (1) has been shown to have a *unique* and *monotone* solution [4].

#### Important Result

McCann [1995]: Given that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are Borel probability means on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu$  vanishing on subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to n-1. Then the optimization problem (1) has a uniquely determined  $\mu$ -almost everywhere solution. This map is the gradient of a convex function and is therefore monotone [5]

#### **Generalized Polynomial Chaos** Expansion

• Generalized polynomial chaos are *orthogonal* polynomials w.r.t to the standard probability distributions.

Distribution	polynomials	density
Gaussian	Hermite	$\rho(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\xi^2/2}$
$Gamma(\alpha,\lambda)$	Laguerre	$\rho(\xi) = \frac{\lambda}{\xi(\alpha)} (\lambda \xi)^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda \xi}$
$Beta(\alpha,\beta)$	Jacobi	$\rho(\xi) = \frac{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}(1+\xi)^{\beta}}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}B(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}$
Uniform $(\alpha, \beta)$	Legendre	$\rho(\xi) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$
Arcsin	Chebyshev	$\rho(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}$

Figure 1:Wiener-Askey Scheme

- Cameron & Martin [1947] first proved the space of the chaos polynomials is dense in  $L^2$ , for the case when the distribution is *Gaussian*.
- Ernst etal [2012] extended this result to an arbitrary distribution whose moment problem is uniquely solvable.

#### Karhunen-Loeve Expansion

• When the covariance kernel of a random field is known, the Kosambi-Karhunen-Loeve theorem guarantees the representation

$$\theta_t(\omega) = \mu_{\theta}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \phi_i(t) \theta_i(\omega)$$

where  $\phi_i$ 's are the orthogonal eigenfunctions and  $\lambda_i$ 's are the corresponding eigenvalues of the integral equation

$$\int_T C(t,s)\phi_i(s)ds = \lambda_i\phi_i(t), \qquad t \in T$$







- 1993.
- 2012.
- maps.

# 

Results

Exponential True distribution vs PC distribution

PC fit True distribution

Jniform True distribution vs PC distribution







#### Conclusion

• Most, if not all mathematical models depend on certain random parameter(s)

• Successes in making inference from or validating these models depend on how well the stochastic information from these parameters are propagated into the state variables

• We demonstrated that transport maps are powerful and handy in this regard

• In progress, we are looking to leverage the expressive power of Deep Neural Networks in constructing transport maps

#### **Contact Information**

http://webhome.auburn.edu/~cae0027/ • Email: cae0027@auburn.edu

#### References

[1] Andrew M Stuart.

Inverse problems: a bayesian perspective. Acta numerica, 19:451–559, 2010.

[2] Chun-Ching Li and Armen Der Kiureghian. Optimal discretization of random fields. Journal of engineering mechanics, 119(6):1136–1154,

[3] Dongbin Xiu. Numerical methods for stochastic computations. In Numerical Methods for Stochastic Computations. Princeton university press, 2010.

[4] Tarek A El Moselhy and Youssef M Marzouk. Bayesian inference with optimal maps. Journal of Computational Physics, 231(23):7815–7850,

[5] Robert J McCann.

Existence and uniqueness of monotone measure-preserving

Duke Mathematical Journal, 80(2):309–323, 1995.

[6] Eleh C van Wyk H-W.

Optimal upscaling for stochastic simulation. In Preparation.