

Chapter 2

Modules

2.1 Modules, Homomorphisms, and Exact Sequences

(IV.1) Module over a ring R is a generalization of abelian group. You may view an R -mod as a “vector space over R ”.

Def. Let R be a ring. A **left R -module** is an additive abelian group A together with a function $R \times A \rightarrow A$ (by $(r, a) \mapsto ra$) such that for all $r, s \in R$ and $a, b \in A$:

1. $r(a + b) = ra + rb$.

2. $(r + s)a = ra + sa$.

3. $r(sa) = (rs)a$.

If R has an identity 1_R and

4. $1_R a = a$ for all $a \in A$,

then A is said to be a **unitary R -module**. If R is a division ring, then a unitary R -module is called a (left) **vector space**.

The right R -module are similarly defined.

In this chapter, we assume that R is a ring with identity, and the R -modules refer to the left unitary R -modules.

Ex. A vector space V over a field \mathbf{F} is a \mathbf{F} -mod.

Ex. Abelian group $(G, +) \iff \mathbb{Z}$ -module G .

Ex. subring $S \leq R \iff R$ is a S -mod.

Ex. Suppose I is a left ideal of R .

1. I is a left R -mod under ring multiplication. In particular, 0 and R are R -mods.
2. R/I is a left R -module with the multiplication $r(r_1 + I) := rr_1 + I$.

Ex. $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ a ring homomorphism. Every S -module A can be made into an R -module by $rx := \varphi(r)x$ for $x \in A$. The R -mod structure of A is given by **pullback along** φ .

Ex. Let $R = \mathbf{C}^{3 \times 3}$. Let $A = \mathbf{C}^{3 \times 2}$. Then under matrix multiplication, A is a left R -mod.

Ex. Let A be an abelian group (resp. ring, vector space, module), and $\text{End } A$ its (corresponding) endomorphism ring. Then A is a unitary $\text{End } A$ -mod, with $fa := f(a)$ for $f \in \text{End } A$ and $a \in A$.

Def. A an R -module. A subset B of A is a **submodule** of A (denoted by $B \leq_R A$ or $B \leq A$) if B is an additive subgroup of A and $rb \in B$ for all $r \in R, b \in B$.

Ex. • A subspace of a vector space is a submodule.

- A subgroup H of an abelian group G is a \mathbf{Z} -submodule of G .
- Both $R[x]$ and $R[[x]]$ are R -modules, and $R[x]$ is an R -submodule of $R[[x]]$.

Lem 2.1. A an R -mod. Then $B \subseteq A$ is an R -submod of A iff:

1. $a - b \in B$ for all $a, b \in B$.
2. $ra \in B$ for all $r \in R$ and $a \in B$.

Thm 2.2. Let A be an R -module, $\{B_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of submodules of A . Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ and $\sum_{i \in I} B_i$ are submodules of A .

Ex. Let X be a subset of a R -mod A . The intersection of all submodules of A containing X is called the **submodule generated by** X .

Thm 2.3. Let R be a ring with identity, A a unitary left R -module.

1. Given $a \in A$, $Ra = \{ra \mid r \in R\}$ is the submodule of A generated by $\{a\}$. It is called the **cyclic submodule** generated by a .

2. Given a subset X of A , the submodule generated by X is

$$RX = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^s r_i a_i \mid s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}; a_i \in X; r_i \in R \right\} = \sum_{x \in X} Rx$$

Def. Let A and B be R -modules over R . A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an **R -module homomorphism** provided that for $a, c \in A$ and $r \in R$:

$$f(a + c) = f(a) + f(c) \quad \text{and} \quad f(ra) = rf(a).$$

If R is a division ring, then an R -mod homom is called a **linear transformation**.

The **kernel** of $f : A \rightarrow B$ is the following submodule of A :

$$\text{Ker } f = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = 0\} \leq A.$$

The **image** of f is the following submodule of B :

$$\text{Im } f = \{f(a) \mid a \in A\} \leq B.$$

Likewise, we can define R -module

monomorphism	$\text{Ker } f = \{0_A\}$
epimorphism	$\text{Im } f = B$
isomorphism	monomorphism + epimorphism

Ex. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a R -mod homom.

- If $C \leq A$, then $f(C) \leq B$.
- If $D \leq B$, then $f^{-1}(D) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \in D\} \leq A$.

Ex. An abelian group homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbf{Z} -mod homom.

Ex. Let A be a R -mod and $a \in A$. The map $\phi_a : R \rightarrow Ra$ given by $\phi_a(r) = ra$ is an epimorphism. The kernel

$$\text{Ker } \phi_a = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0_A\} := \text{Ann}(a)$$

is a left ideal of R .

Thm 2.4. Let A be an R -mod and $B \leq A$. Then the quotient group A/B is an R -module with

$$r(a + B) = ra + B \quad \text{for} \quad r \in R, a \in A.$$

The map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/B$ given by $a \mapsto a + B$ is an R -module epimorphism with kernel B (called **canonical epimorphism** or **projection**).

Similar to group and ring homomorphisms, we have three isomorphism theorem for R -module homomorphisms.

Thm 2.5. *If $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is an R -mod homom, then $A/\text{Ker } f \simeq \text{Im } f$ as R -mods.*

Thm 2.6. *Let B and C be submods of an R -mod A .*

1. $T B/(B \cap C) \simeq (B + C)/C$ as R -mods;
2. If $C \leq B$, then $B/C \leq A/C$, and $(A/C)/(B/C) \simeq A/B$ as R -mods.

(The constructions of isomorphisms are the same as those for groups.)

We define the **product** and **coproduct** of R -modules.

Thm 2.7. *Let R be a ring and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ a nonempty family of R -modules, $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ the direct product of the abelian groups A_i , and $\sum_{i \in I} A_i$ the direct sum of the abelian groups A_i .*

1. $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is an R -module with the action of R given by $r\{a_i\} = \{ra_i\}$.
2. $\sum_{i \in I} A_i$ is an submodule of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$.
3. For each $k \in I$, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{id} & & \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{\iota_k} & \prod_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & A_k \end{array}$$

where the canonical injection ι_k is an R -mod monomorphism, and the canonical projection π_k is an R -mod epimorphism. Similarly, we have the commutative diagram for coproduct (direct sum) of $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{id} & & \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{\iota_k} & \sum_{i \in I} A_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & A_k \end{array}$$

Thm 2.8. *Let R be a ring and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of R -modules.*

1. If C is an R -mod and $\{\varphi_i : C \rightarrow A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a family of R -mod homoms, then there is a unique R -mod homom $\varphi : C \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i$

such that $\pi_k \circ \varphi = \varphi_k$ for all $k \in I$. The R -mod $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by this property.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \prod_{i \in I} A_i \\ & \nearrow \varphi & \downarrow \pi_k \\ C & \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} & A_k \end{array}$$

2. If D is an R -mod and $\{\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow D \mid i \in I\}$ is a family of R -mod homoms, then there is a unique R -mod homom $\psi : \sum_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow D$ such that $\psi \circ \iota_k = \psi_k$ for all $k \in I$. The R -mod $\sum_{i \in I} A_i$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by this property.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{i \in I} A_i & & \\ \uparrow \iota_k & \searrow \psi & \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{\psi_k} & D \end{array}$$

(proof)

Thm 2.9. Let R be a ring and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of submodules of an R -module A such that

1. A is the sum of the family $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$;
2. for each $k \in I$, $A_k \cap A_k^* = \{0\}$, where A_k^* is the sum of the family $\{A_i \mid i \neq k\}$. Then there is an isomorphism $A \simeq \sum_{i \in I} A_i$.

(exercise)

Def. A pair of module homomorphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is said to be **exact** at B provided $\text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g$. A sequence of module homomorphisms

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} A_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_i} A_i \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} A_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+2}} \cdots$$

is **exact** provided that $\text{Im } f_i = \text{Ker } f_{i+1}$ for all indices i .

Note that for any module A , there are unique module homomorphisms $0 \rightarrow A$ and $A \rightarrow 0$.

1. The sequence of R -mod homoms $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is exact if and only if f is a monomorphism.

2. The sequence of R -mod homoms $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ is exact if and only if g is a epimorphism.
3. If $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is exact, then $gf = 0$.

An exact sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ is called a **short exact sequence**. In such a sequence,

$$A \simeq \text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g, \quad B/A \simeq B/\text{Ker } g \simeq \text{Im } g = C.$$

In general, if A is a submod of B , then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} B \xrightarrow{\pi} B/A \rightarrow 0$$

Ex. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an R -mod homom, then $A/\text{Ker } f$ is the **coimage** of f (denoted $\text{Coim } f$), and $B/\text{Im } f$ is the **cokernel** of f (denoted $\text{Coker } f$). We have the exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Coim } f \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Lem 2.10. (The Short Five Lemma) Let R be a ring and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

a commutative diagram of R -mod homoms such that each row is a short exact sequence. Then

1. α and γ are monomorphisms $\implies \beta$ is a monomorphism;
2. α and γ are epimorphisms $\implies \beta$ is a epimorphism;
3. α and γ are isomorphisms $\implies \beta$ is a isomorphism;

(proof)

When α , β , and γ above are isomorphisms, the row short exact sequences are said to be **isomorphic**, and we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow \alpha^{-1} & & \uparrow \beta^{-1} & & \uparrow \gamma^{-1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Thm 2.11. *Let R be a ring and $0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A_2 \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of R -mod homoms. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *There is a R -mod homom $h : A_2 \rightarrow B$ with $gh = 1_{A_2}$;*
2. *There is a R -mod homom $k : B \rightarrow A_1$ with $kf = 1_{A_1}$;*
3. *The given sequence is isomorphic to the direct sum short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\iota_1} A_1 \oplus A_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} A_2 \rightarrow 0$; in particular $B \simeq A_1 \oplus A_2$. We call such a sequence a **split exact sequence**.*

(proof)

2.2 Free Modules and Vector Spaces

(IV.2)

Def. Let A be an R -mod and X a subset of A .

- X is **linearly independent** if for distinct $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $r_i \in R$,

$$r_1x_1 + \dots + r_nx_n = 0 \implies r_i = 0 \text{ for every } i.$$

- X **spans** A if every $a \in A$ can be written as

$$a = r_1x_1 + \dots + r_nx_n \quad \text{for} \quad r_1, \dots, r_n \in R, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

- X is a **basis** of A if X is linearly independent and X spans A .

Def. A unitary R -mod A with a nonempty basis X is called a **free R -module** on the set X .

Ex.

1. A finitely generated free abelian group is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}^n . It is a free \mathbf{Z} -mod.
2. The vector space \mathbf{K}^n for a field \mathbf{K} is a free module of \mathbf{K} . It can be generated by n elements (i.e. $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}^n = n$). We can define linear independence, spanning set, basis, dimensions, etc, on \mathbf{K}^n .
3. \mathbf{Z}_m for $m \in \mathbf{N}$ is not a free \mathbf{Z} -module.
4. \mathbf{Q} is not a free \mathbf{Z} -mod. However, \mathbf{Q} is a free \mathbf{Q} -mod. Similarly, \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} are not free \mathbf{Z} -mods.
5. A ring R with no zero divisor is a free R -mod.

Thm 2.12. The following conditions on a unitary R -mod F are equivalent:

1. F has a nonempty basis;
2. F is the internal direct sum of a family of cyclic R -mods, each of which is isomorphic as a left R -mod to R .
3. F is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of the left R -mod R ;
4. there exists a nonempty set X and a function $\iota : X \rightarrow F$ with the following property: given any unitary R -mod A and function $f : X \rightarrow A$, there exists a unique R -mod homom $\bar{f} : F \rightarrow A$ such that $\bar{f}\iota = f$.

(proof)

Cor 2.13. *Every unitary R -mod A is the homomorphic image of a free R -mod F . If A is finitely generated, then F may be chosen to be finitely generated.*

(proof)

Thm 2.14. *Let R be a ring with identity and F a free R -mod with an infinite basis X , then every basis of F has the same cardinality as X .*

Proof. Let Y be another basis of R .

1. Claim: Y is infinite.

Suppose on the contrary, Y were finite. Since every element of Y is a linear combination of a finite number of elements of X , there is a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of X that generates all elements of Y and thus generates F . Then every $x \in X - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_m , which contradicts the linear independence of X . So Y is infinite.

2. Claim: Y has the same cardinality as X .

Let $K(Y)$ be the set of all finite subsets of Y . Then $|K(Y)| = |Y|$. Define a map $f : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ by $x \mapsto \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, where $x = r_1y_1 + \dots + r_ny_n$ and $r_i \neq 0$ for all i . It is well-defined since Y is a basis of F .

For every $T \in K(Y)$, $f^{-1}(T)$ is a finite subset of X (by the similar argument as in the preceding paragraph). For each $T \in \text{Im } f$, order the elements of $f^{-1}(T)$, say x_1, \dots, x_n , and define an injective map $g_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow \text{Im } f \times \mathbf{N}$ by $x_k \mapsto (T, k)$. Then we get an injective map $X \rightarrow \text{Im } f \times \mathbf{N}$. Therefore,

$$|X| \leq |\text{Im } f \times \mathbf{N}| = |\text{Im } f| \leq |K(Y)| = |Y|.$$

Similar argument shows that $|Y| \leq |X|$. Therefore, $|Y| = |X|$.

□

Theorem 2.14 works only on free R -mods with *infinite cardinality* bases. For finitely generated R -modules, we consider the rings R with invariant dimension property.

Def. Suppose ring R satisfies that any two bases of any free R -mod F have the same cardinality. Then R is said to have the **invariant dimension property (IDP)** and the cardinality number of any basis of F is called the **dimension** (or **rank**) of F over R .

Prop 2.15. Let E and F be free mods over a ring R with the IDP. Then $E \simeq F$ if and only if E and F have the same dimension. (exercise)

Lem 2.16. R a ring with identity. $I \triangleleft R$. F a free R -mod with basis X . $\pi : F \rightarrow F/IF$ the canonical projection. Then F/IF is a free R/I -mod with basis $\pi(X)$ and $|\pi(X)| = |X|$.

(sketch of proof: 1. $\pi(X)$ generates F/IF . 2. $\pi(X)$ are linearly independent. 3. $|\pi(X)| = |X|$.)

Prop 2.17. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a nonzero epimorphism of rings with identity. If S has the IDP, then so does R .

(Use Lemma 2.16 and $S \simeq R/I$ for $I := \text{Ker } f \triangleleft R$.)

Ex. Some examples of rings with IDP

1. If R is a ring with identity that has a homomorphic image which is a division ring, then R has the IDP. In particular, **every commutative ring with identity has the IDP**.
2. Every division ring D has IDP. In fact, every D -mod V is free. V is called a vector space over D .

Prop 2.18. Let V be a vector space over a division ring D .

1. V always has a basis and is a free D -mod.
2. Every maximal linearly independent subset X of V is a basis of V .
3. If Y is a subset of V that spans V , then Y contains a basis of V .
4. Every two bases of V have the same cardinality.

Prop 2.19. Let V be a vector space over a division ring D . Let W and U be subspaces of V .

1. $\dim_D V = \dim_D W + \dim_D(V/W)$. In particular, $\dim_D W \leq \dim_D V$; and if $\dim_D W = \dim_D V$ is finite, then $W = V$.

$$2. \dim_D U + \dim_D W = \dim_D(U + W) + \dim_D(U \cap W).$$

(Proof by constructing the bases.)

The following result would be used in Galois Theory.

Thm 2.20. *Let R, S, T be division rings such that $R \subset S \subset T$. Then*

$$\dim_R T = (\dim_S T)(\dim_R S).$$

Precisely, if $\{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of S over R , and $\{t_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a basis of T over S , then $\{s_i t_j \mid i \in I, j \in J\}$ is a basis of T over R .

2.3 Projective and Injective Modules

(IV.3)

2.3.1 Projective Modules

Def. An R -mod P is **projective** if given any R -mod homom diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \downarrow f & \\ A \xrightarrow{g} B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with bottom row exact (i.e. g an epimorphism), there exists an R -mod homom $h : P \rightarrow A$ such that $g \circ h = f$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \downarrow f & \\ A \xrightarrow{g} B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow h \\ \nwarrow \end{array}$$

Projective modules include all free modules:

Thm 2.21. Every free R -module is projective.

(Proof: Suppose F is a free module with a basis X . We construct the commutative diagram on X first. Then apply Theorem 2.12 (4).)

Cor 2.22. Every module A is the homomorphic image of a projective R -module.

(Proof: Recall that if X generates A , then A is the homomorphic image of the free module generated by X .)

Projective modules are characterized by the important theorem below.

Thm 2.23. The following condition on an R -mod P are equivalent:

1. P is projective;
2. Every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} P \rightarrow 0$ is split exact (hence $B \simeq A \oplus P$);
3. there is a free module F and an R -module K such that $F \simeq K \oplus P$.

(Proof: $1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 1$.)

So a module is projective if and only if it is the direct sum component of a free module.

Ex. Let $R = \mathbf{Z}_6$. Then $\mathbf{Z}_6 \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3$ as \mathbf{Z}_6 -modules. So both \mathbf{Z}_2 and \mathbf{Z}_3 are projective \mathbf{Z}_6 -modules, although they are not free \mathbf{Z}_6 -modules.

Ex. \mathbf{Z}_2 is NOT a projective \mathbf{Z}_4 -module.

Thm 2.24. A direct sum of R -mods $\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i$ is projective if and only if each P_i is projective.

(Proof)

2.3.2 Injective Modules

Injectivity is the dual notation to projectivity.

Def. An R -mod J is **injective** if given any R -mod homom diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \xrightarrow{g} B \\ & & \downarrow f \\ & & J \end{array}$$

with top row exact (i.e. g a monomorphism), there exists an R -mod homom $h : B \rightarrow J$ such that $h \circ g = f$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \xrightarrow{g} B \\ & & \downarrow f \quad \swarrow h \\ & & J \end{array}$$

There is a dual result to Cor 2.22 for injective modules:

Prop 2.25. Every R -mod A may be embedded in an injective R -module.

(The proof is complex and we skip it.)

Thm 2.26. The following conditions on an R -mod J are equivalent:

1. J is injective;
2. every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ is split exact (hence $B \simeq J \oplus C$).

3. J is a direct summand of any module B of which J is a submodule.

(proof)

The dual result to Thm 2.24 for injective module is:

Thm 2.27. *A direct product of R -mods $\prod_{i \in I} J_i$ is injective if and only if J_i is injective for every $i \in I$.*

(exercise)

2.4 Modules over a Principal Ideal Domain

(IV.6) In this section, the ring R is a principal ideal domain (PID).

Ex. An finitely generated abelian group (i.e. a finitely generated \mathbf{Z} -module) is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^r \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_{p_i^{s_i}}$ for (not necessary distinct) primes p_i and integers r, k, s_i .

Thm 2.28. Let R be a PID, F a free R -module, and G a submodule of F . Then G is a free R -mod and $\text{rank } G \leq \text{rank } F$.

Proof. Let $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a basis of F . Choose a well ordering \leq of I (Introduction, Section 7), and denote the immediate successor of i by $i + 1$ (Introduction, Ex 7.7). Choose $\alpha \notin I$. Let $J = I \cup \{\alpha\}$ and let $i < \alpha$ for all $i \in I$. For each $j \in J$ Let F_j be the submodule generated by $\{x_i \mid i < j\}$. Let $G_j = G \cap F_j$.

1. $F_{i+1}/F_i \simeq Rx_i \simeq R$ (apply 3rd Isomorphism Thm on the canonical projection $F_{i+1} \rightarrow Rx_i$).
2. $G_i = G_{i+1} \cap F_i$.
3. $G_{i+1}/G_i = G_{i+1}/(G_{i+1} \cap F_i) \simeq (G_{i+1} + F_i)/F_i$.

But $(G_{i+1} + F_i)/F_i$ is a submodule of $F_{i+1}/F_i \simeq R$, and every submodule of R is an ideal and is of the form Rc for some $c \in R$. So G_{i+1}/G_i is free of rank 0 or 1. Then $0 \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_{i+1}/G_i \rightarrow 0$ is split exact. So $G_{i+1} = G_i \oplus Rb_i$ for $b_i = 0$ or $b_i \in G_{i+1} - G_i$. Let $B = \{b_i \mid b_i \neq 0, i \in I\}$. Then $|B| \leq |I|$. We can show that B is a basis of G (Exercise). \square

Likewise, if every ideal of a generic ring R is finitely generated (for example, if R is a Noetherian Ring), then every submodule of a finitely generated R -module is finitely generated.

Cor 2.29. Let R be a PID. If A is a finitely generated R -mod generated by n elements, then every submodule of A may be generated by m elements with $m \leq n$.

Cor 2.30. A module A over a PID R is free if and only if A is projective.

Lem 2.31. Let A be a left module over a PID R and for each $a \in A$ let $\mathcal{O}_a = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$.

1. \mathcal{O}_a is an ideal of R for each $a \in A$.

2. $A_t = \{a \in A \mid \mathcal{O}_a \neq 0\}$ is a submodule of A , the **torsion submodule** of A . Indeed, $\mathcal{O}_{ra} \supset \mathcal{O}_a$ and $\mathcal{O}_{a+b} \supset \mathcal{O}_a \cap \mathcal{O}_b$ for $r \in R - \{0\}$ and $a, b \in A$.

3. For each $a \in A$ there is an isomorphism of left modules

$$R/\mathcal{O}_a \simeq Ra = \{ra \mid r \in R\}.$$

Remark.

1. A is a **torsion module** if $A = A_t$; A is **torsion-free** if $A_t = 0$.
2. Every free module is torsion-free. However, a torsion-free (not finitely generated) module may not be free. The \mathbf{Z} -module \mathbf{Q} is a counterexample. See theorem below for the finitely generated case.
3. Given $a \in A$, suppose that $\mathcal{O}_a = (r)$ for $r \in R$. Then

$$Ra \simeq R/\mathcal{O}_a = R/(r)$$

is said to be **cyclic of order r** .

Ex. Let A be an abelian group (i.e. \mathbf{Z} -module). If the group theoretic order of $a \in A$ is $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\mathbf{Z}a \simeq \mathbf{Z}/(n)$ as \mathbf{Z} -mod; if a has infinite order, then $\mathbf{Z}a \simeq \mathbf{Z}/(0) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.

Thm 2.32. A finitely generated torsion-free module A over a PID R is free.

Proof. Let X be a set of elements that generate A . Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a maximal subset of X such that

$$r_1x_1 + \dots + r_kx_k = 0 \implies r_1 = \dots = r_k = 0.$$

Then S is nonempty. Let F be the submodule generated by S . Then F is a free submodule of A . Given $y \in X - S$, there exists $r_y \neq 0$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$ such that $r_yy + r_1x_1 + \dots + r_kx_k = 0$. Then $r_yy \in F$. This shows that there exists $r = \prod_{y \in X - S} r_y \neq 0$, such that $rX \leq F$. Then $X \simeq rX$ is free. \square

Thm 2.33. If A is a finitely generated module over a PID R , then $A = A_t \oplus F$, where F is a free R -module of finite rank and $F \simeq A/A_t$.

Let us investigate the torsion part of A .

Lem 2.34. *Let A be a torsion module over a PID R and for each prime $p \in R$ let $A(p) = \{a \in A \mid a \text{ has order a power of } p\}$.*

1. $A(p)$ is a submodule of A for each prime $p \in R$;
2. $A = \bigoplus A(p)$, where the sum is over all primes $p \in R$. If A is finitely generated, only finitely many of the $A(p)$ are nonzero.

Proof. 1. Easy.

2. Given $a \in A$, suppose $\mathcal{O}_a = (r)$ and $r = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$. Let $r_i \in R$ satisfy that $r = p_i^{n_i} r_i$. Then $\gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 1$ and there exist $s_1, \dots, s_k \in R$ such that $s_1 r_1 + \cdots + s_k r_k = 1$. Then $a = s_1 r_1 a + \cdots + s_k r_k a$ and $s_i r_i a \in A(p_i)$. So $A = \sum A(p)$. Now for any prime p , we set $A_p := \sum_{q \neq p} A(q)$. Verify that $A(p) \cap A_p = \{0\}$. Then $A = \bigoplus A(p)$.

If $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{a_i} = (r_i)$. Let q_1, \dots, q_ℓ be all distinct primes (up to associate) that divides one of r_1, \dots, r_n . Then $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\ell A(q_i)$. □

Lem 2.35. *Let R be a PID and $p \in R$ be a prime. Let A be a fin gen R -mod such that every nonzero element of A has order a power of p . Then $A \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/(p^{n_i})$ for some $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 1$.*

(The proof is skipped here.)

Lem 2.36. *If $r = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$ where p_i are distinct primes, then*

$$R/(r) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/(p_i^{n_i}) \quad \text{as left } R\text{-modules.}$$

Proof. Define $\phi : R/(r) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/(p_i^{n_i})$ by

$$\phi(a + (r)) = (a + (p_1^{n_1}), a + (p_2^{n_2}), \dots, a + (p_k^{n_k})).$$

Verify that ϕ is a well-defined R -mod monomorphism. Let $A_i = (p_i^{n_i})$ in R . Then $A_i + A_j = R$ for $i \neq j$. By Chinese Remainder Theorem, ϕ is an epimorphism. □

The classification theorem of finitely generated modules over a PID is:

Thm 2.37. *Let A be a finitely generated module over a PID R .*

1.

$$A \simeq R^r \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/(p_i^{s_i}),$$

where $r \in \mathbf{N}$, p_1, \dots, p_k are (not necessary distinct) primes in R and s_1, \dots, s_k are (not necessary distinct) positive integers. The elements $p_1^{s_1}, \dots, p_k^{s_k}$ are called the **elementary divisors** of A . The rank r and the list of ideals $(p_1^{s_1}), \dots, (p_k^{s_k})$ are uniquely determined by A .

2.

$$A \simeq R^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^t R/(r_j)$$

where $r \in \mathbf{N}$, r_1, \dots, r_t are (not necessary distinct) nonzero nonunit elements of R such that $r_1 \mid r_2 \mid \dots \mid r_t$. The elements r_1, \dots, r_t are called the **invariant factors** of A . The rank r and the list of ideals $(r_1), \dots, (r_t)$ are uniquely determined by A .

Ex. The \mathbf{Z} -mod $A = \mathbf{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{14} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{18} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{24}$ is classified by

$$A \simeq \mathbf{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^3} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_7$$

We work out the following table:

	p				t_j
$p_i^{s_i}$	2^3	3^2	5	7	2520
	2^2	3		7	84
	2	3			6
	2				2
	2				2

Therefore, A has another classification into cyclic modules:

$$A \simeq \mathbf{Z}^6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{84} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2520} \quad \text{where} \quad 2 \mid 2 \mid 6 \mid 84 \mid 2520$$

Cor 2.38. Two finitely generated modules A and B over a PID are isomorphic if and only if A/A_t and B/B_t have the same rank and A and B have the same invariant factors (resp. elementary divisors).