Local monomial orderings for integral closures of ideals

1 Simple examples of integral closures of ideals

The simplest type of example that gets across what integral closures of ideals are about is:

$$I_m := \langle y^m, x^m \rangle \subset \mathbf{F}[y, x] =: R,$$

having integral closure

$$C(I_m, R) := \langle y^m, y^{m-1}x, \dots, yx^{m-1}, x^m \rangle$$

generated by all the monomials lying "between" y^m and x^m .

But such monomial ideals rarely give insight into any monomial ordering, so try binomial ideals such as:

$$I_{m,n} := \langle y^m - y^n, x^m - x^n \rangle \subset \mathbf{F}[y, x] =: R$$

with m > n. Probably one can guess that the integral closure is related to either $C(I_m, R)$ or to $C(I_n, R)$. To see which try out normal in Singular and integralClosure in Macaulay2.

```
SINGULAR
 A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations
 by: W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schoenemann
FB Mathematik der Universitaet, D-67653 Kaiserslautern
> LIB "normal.lib";
> ring r=0,(y,x),dp;
> ideal i=y3-y2,x3-x2;
> list nor=normalI(i);nor;
[1]:
   [1] = y3 - y2
   [2]=x3-x2
   _{[3]} = -y2x2 + y2x + yx2 - yx
> ideal s=std(nor[1]);s;
s[1]=x3-x2
s[2]=y3-y2
s[3]=y2x2-y2x-yx2+yx
Macaulav2, version 1.4
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination, IntegralClosure, LLLBases,
               PrimaryDecomposition, ReesAlgebra, TangentCone
i1 : R=QQ[y,x];
i2 : I=ideal(y^3-y^2,x^3-x^2);
i3 : time IC=integralClosure(I);
```

```
-- used 0.243466 seconds
i4 : toString IC
o4 = ideal(x^3-x^2,
y^3-y^2,
y^2*x^2-y^2*x-y*x^2+y*x)
```

It should be clear from these that y^2x^2 is not "between" y^3 and x^3 , but that yx is between y^2 and x^2 .

2 Local monomial orderings

From this it should be clear that the triling entries are more important to an understanding of the integral closure above than the leading entries.

```
ring r=0,(y,x),ds;
> ideal i=y3-y2,x3-x2;
> list nor=normalI(i);nor;
[1]:
    _[1]=-y2+y3
    _[2]=-x2+x3
    _[3]=-yx+y2x+yx2-y2x2
> ideal s=std(nor[1]);s;
s[1]=y2
s[2]=yx
s[3]=x2
```

While we might have expected what normal I gave us, perhaps we (meaning at least the royal I) were not ready for what standard (Gröbner) bases look like relative to local monomial orderings. Here $x^2-x^3=x^2u_1$ and $y^2-y^3=y^2u_2$ with $u_1:=1-x$ and $u_2:=1-y$ polynomial units. So the extra generator found is really of the form yxu_1u_2 . The lesson here is that interreduction is much trickier with a local monomial ordering in that x^2-x^3 could be reduced to x^2-x^s for any s>2. So saying that $x^2\in I$ above means only that $x^2u\in I$ for some polynomial unit u.

MACAULAY2 is not really set up to compute integral closures for local monomial orderings, so instead the following gives a local answer based on the global output.

3 Qth-power approach

My Macaulay2 code based on the Qth-power algorithm for integral closures of rings, uses a local monomial ordering, but is restricted currently to positive characteristic, and probably runs well only for small Q>0. That said,

```
i3 :
          R=ZZ/13[x,y,MonomialOrder=>{
                       Weights=>\{-1,-1\},
                       Weights=>\{0,-1\}\},
                       Global=>false];
i4 :
        I={x^2-x^3,y^2-y^3};
        wt={{-1,-1},{0,-1}};
i5 :
i6 :
         time IC=idealClosure(R,{0},I,wt);
 2
      3
         2 3
\{x - x, y - y\}
{1}
\{x, y\}
 2
\{x, x*y, y\}
 2
\{x, x*y, y\}
{1}
\{x, y\}
 2
\{x, x*y, y\}
    -- used 0.2507 seconds
i7 : toString IC
o7 = \{x^2, x*y, y^2\}
```

does produce the local answer I'm advocating theoretically.