**Financial Mathematics** 

MATH 5870/6870<sup>1</sup> Fall 2021

### Le Chen

lzc0090@auburn.edu

Last updated on

September 28, 2021

# Auburn University

Auburn  $\overline{AL}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on Robert L. McDonald's *Derivatives Markets*, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- $\$  10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

### $\$ 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree

- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- § 10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

### Binomial option pricing

### The binomial option pricing model or Cox-Ross-Rubinstein pricing model assumes that

the price of the underlying asset follows a binomial distribution,

that is,

the asset price in each period can move only up or down by a specified amount.

The binomial option pricing model enables us to determine the price of an option, given the characteristics of the stock or other underlying asset. Example 10.1-1 Consider an European call option on the stock of XYZ, with a \$40 strike price and one year expiration. XYZ does not pay dividends and its current price is \$41.

Assume that, in a year, the price can be either \$60 or \$30.



Can one determine the call premium?

(Let the continuously compounded risk free interest rate be 8%.)

### Law of one price

Positions that have the same payoff should have the same cost!

Two portfolios (positions)

- ▶ Portfolio A: Buy one 40-strike call option.
- ▶ Portfolio B: Buy  $\Delta \in (0, 1)$  share of stock and borrow B at the risk-free rate.

These two positions should have the same cost.

### Law of one price

Positions that have the same payoff should have the same cost!

Two portfolios (positions)

- ▶ Portfolio A: Buy one 40-strike call option.
- ▶ Portfolio B: Buy  $\Delta \in (0, 1)$  share of stock and borrow **B** at the risk-free rate.

These two positions should have the same cost.

Solution. The cost for Portfolio B at day zero is

$$\Delta \times S_0 - B.$$

and its payoff at expiration is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \times 30 - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{e}^{0.08} & \text{if the stock price is } 30 \\ \Delta \times 60 - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{e}^{0.08} & \text{if the stock price is } 60 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the payoff for Portfolio A should be

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the stock price is } 30 \\ (60 - 40) & \text{if the stock price is } 60 \end{cases}$$

By equating the two payoffs, one obtains that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \times 30 - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{e}^{0.08} = 0\\ \Delta \times 60 - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{e}^{0.08} = 60 - 40\end{cases}$$

Solution. Hence,

$$B = 20 \times e^{-0.08}$$
 and  $\Delta = 2/3$ .

Finally, since the cost of Portfolio A has to be equal to that of Portfolio B, we find the cost of Portfolio A:

$$\Delta imes oldsymbol{S}_0 - oldsymbol{B} = rac{2}{3}oldsymbol{S}_0 - 20 imes oldsymbol{e}^{-0.08}.$$

If we plug in  $S_0 =$ \$41, we have

B = \$18.462 and the cost is \$8.871.

 $\square$ 

More generally, suppose the stock change its value over a period of time h as



### Portfolio A

Payoff	d  imes S	$u \times S$
Option	0	u  imes S - K
Total	$C_d = 0$	$C_u = u \cdot S - K$

#### Portfolio B

Payoff	d  imes S	u  imes S
$\Delta$ share	$\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{\delta h}$	$\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{\delta h}$
B bond	Be <sup>rh</sup>	Be <sup>rh</sup>
Total	$\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{\delta h} + \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{e}^{rh}$	$\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{\delta h} + \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{e}^{rh}$

For two unknowns:  $\Delta$  and B, solve:

 $\begin{cases} \Delta dSe^{\delta h} + Be^{rh} = C_d \\ \Delta uSe^{\delta h} + Be^{rh} = C_u \end{cases}$ 

Set  $S_h$  be either dS or uS and  $C_h$  be either  $C_u$  or  $C_d$ . Plot  $S_h$  (x-axis) versus  $C_h$  (y-axis).

 $\Delta \mathbf{S}_{h} \mathbf{e}^{\delta h} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{r} h} = \mathbf{C}_{h}$ 



$$\Delta = e^{-\delta h} \frac{C_h - C_d}{S(u - d)} \quad \text{and} \quad B = e^{-th} \frac{uC_d - dC_u}{u - d}$$

$$\Delta S + B = e^{-rh} \left( C_u \underbrace{\frac{e^{(r-\delta)h} - d}{u-d}}_{:=\rho^*} + C_d \underbrace{\frac{u - e^{(r-\delta)h}}{u-d}}_{:=1-\rho^*} \right)$$

 $p^*$  the **risk-neutral probability** of an increase in the stock price.

Example 10.1-2 Find arbitrage opportunities in Example 10.1-1 with

► the option price being overpriced with \$9.00;

► the option price being underpriced with \$8.25,

instead of the risk-neutral pricing \$8.871.

Solution. One can buy the synthetic option which cost \$8.25 and sell the real one by earning \$9.00. Hence, the present value of the profit is

Example 10.1-2 Find arbitrage opportunities in Example 10.1-1 with

- ► the option price being overpriced with \$9.00;
- ► the option price being underpriced with \$8.25,

instead of the risk-neutral pricing \$8.871.

Solution. One can buy the synthetic option which cost \$8.25 and sell the real one by earning \$9.00. Hence, the present value of the profit is

Example 10.1-2 Find arbitrage opportunities in Example 10.1-1 with

- ► the option price being overpriced with \$9.00;
- ► the option price being underpriced with \$8.25,

instead of the risk-neutral pricing \$8.871.

Solution. One can buy the synthetic option which cost \$8.25 and sell the real one by earning \$9.00. Hence, the present value of the profit is

Example 10.1-2 Find arbitrage opportunities in Example 10.1-1 with

- ► the option price being overpriced with \$9.00;
- ► the option price being underpriced with \$8.25,

instead of the risk-neutral pricing \$8.871.

Solution. One can buy the synthetic option which cost \$8.25 and sell the real one by earning \$9.00. Hence, the present value of the profit is

Example 10.1-2 Find arbitrage opportunities in Example 10.1-1 with

- ► the option price being overpriced with \$9.00;
- ► the option price being underpriced with \$8.25,

instead of the risk-neutral pricing \$8.871.

Solution. One can buy the synthetic option which cost \$8.25 and sell the real one by earning \$9.00. Hence, the present value of the profit is

9 - 88.871 = 0.129.

 $\square$ 

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- $\$  10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

### § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree

### $\$ 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree

§ 10.3 Two or more binomial periods

### § 10.4 Put options

- § 10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets

### § 10.7 Problems

$$u = e^{(r-\delta)h+\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$
  
 $d = e^{(r-\delta)h-\sigma\sqrt{h}}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  *r*: continuously compounded annual interest rate.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\delta$ : continuously dividend yield.
- $\triangleright \sigma$ : annual volatility
- $\blacktriangleright$  h: the length of a binomial period in years.

$$u = e^{(r-\delta)h+\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$
  
 $d = e^{(r-\delta)h-\sigma\sqrt{h}}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  *r*: continuously compounded annual interest rate.
- ►  $\delta$ : continuously dividend yield.
- $\triangleright \sigma$ : annual volatility.
- $\blacktriangleright$  h: the length of a binomial period in years.

$$u = e^{(r-\delta)h+\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$
  
 $d = e^{(r-\delta)h-\sigma\sqrt{h}}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  *r*: continuously compounded annual interest rate.
- ▶  $\delta$ : continuously dividend yield.
- $\sigma$ : annual volatility.
- $\blacktriangleright$  h: the length of a binomial period in years.

$$u = e^{(r-\delta)h+\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$
  
 $d = e^{(r-\delta)h-\sigma\sqrt{h}}$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  *r*: continuously compounded annual interest rate.
- ▶  $\delta$ : continuously dividend yield.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\sigma$ : annual volatility.
- $\blacktriangleright$  *h*: the length of a binomial period in years.

### **Continuously Compounded Returns**

$$r_{t,t+h} = \ln \left(S_{t_h}/S_t\right)$$
$$S_{t+h} = S_t e^{r_{t,t+h}}$$
$$s_{t+h} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{t+(i-1)h,t+it}$$

r.

Go over 3 examples on p. 301

The **volatility** of an asset is the standard deviation of continuously compounded returns.

▶ A year is dividend into *n* periods (say, n = 12) of length h = 1/n.

- Let  $\sigma^2$  be the annual continuously compounded return.
- Assuming that the continuously compounded returns are independent and identically distributed
- ▶ We have

$$\sigma^2 = 12 \times \sigma^2_{\rm monthly}$$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma \sqrt{h}$$
 or  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sqrt{h}}$ .

The **volatility** of an asset is the standard deviation of continuously compounded returns.

- ▶ A year is dividend into n periods (say, n = 12) of length h = 1/n.
- ▶ Let  $\sigma^2$  be the annual continuously compounded return.
- Assuming that the continuously compounded returns are independent and identically distributed
- ▶ We have

$$\sigma^2 = 12 \times \sigma^2_{\rm monthly}$$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma \sqrt{h}$$
 or  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sqrt{h}}$ .

The **volatility** of an asset is the standard deviation of continuously compounded returns.

- ▶ A year is dividend into *n* periods (say, n = 12) of length h = 1/n.
- ▶ Let  $\sigma^2$  be the annual continuously compounded return.
- Assuming that the continuously compounded returns are independent and identically distributed

▶ We have

$$\sigma^2 = 12 \times \sigma^2_{\rm monthly}$$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma \sqrt{h}$$
 or  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sqrt{h}}$ .

The **volatility** of an asset is the standard deviation of continuously compounded returns.

- ▶ A year is dividend into *n* periods (say, n = 12) of length h = 1/n.
- ▶ Let  $\sigma^2$  be the annual continuously compounded return.
- ► Assuming that the continuously compounded returns are independent and identically distributed
- ► We have

$$\sigma^2 = 12 \times \sigma^2_{\rm monthly}$$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma \sqrt{h}$$
 or  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sqrt{h}}$ .

# Constructing *u* and *d*

With no volatility

$$S_{t+h} = F_{t,t+h} = S_t e^{(r-\delta)h}$$

With volatility

$$uS_t = F_{t,t+h}e^{+\sigma\sqrt{h}t}$$
  
 $dS_t = F_{t,t+h}e^{-\sigma\sqrt{h}t}$ 

$$\Downarrow$$

$$u = e^{(r-\delta)h+\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$
$$d = e^{(r-\delta)h-\sigma\sqrt{h}}$$

# **Estimating Historical Volatility**

TABLE IO.I	Weekly prices and continuously compounded returns for the S&P 500 index and IBM, from 7/7/2010 to 9/8/2010.				
	S&P	S&P 500		IBM	
Date	Price	$\ln(S_t/S_{t-1})$	Price	$\ln(S_t/S_{t-1})$	
7/7/2010	1060.27		127		
7/14/2010	1095.17	0.03239	130.72	0.02887	
7/21/2010	1069.59	-0.02363	125.27	-0.04259	
7/28/2010	1106.13	0.03359	128.43	0.02491	
8/4/2010	1127.24	0.01890	131.27	0.02187	
8/11/2010	1089.47	-0.03408	129.83	-0.01103	
8/18/2010	1094.16	0.00430	129.39	-0.00338	
8/25/2010	1055.33	-0.03613	125.27	-0.03238	
9/1/2010	1080.29	0.02338	125.77	0.00398	
9/8/2010	1098.87	0.01705	126.08	0.00246	
Standard deviation	0.02800		0.02486		
Standard deviation $\times $	0.20194	0.17926			

### ► Volatility computation should exclude dividend.

But since dividends are small and infrequent; the standard deviation will be similar whether you exclude dividends or not when computing the standard deviation.

# **Estimating Historical Volatility**

TABLE IO.I	Weekly prices and continuously compounded returns for the S&P 500 index and IBM, from 7/7/2010 to 9/8/2010.				
	S&F	S&P 500		IBM	
Date	Price	$\ln(S_t/S_{t-1})$	Price	$\ln(S_t/S_{t-1})$	
7/7/2010	1060.27		127		
7/14/2010	1095.17	0.03239	130.72	0.02887	
7/21/2010	1069.59	-0.02363	125.27	-0.04259	
7/28/2010	1106.13	0.03359	128.43	0.02491	
8/4/2010	1127.24	0.01890	131.27	0.02187	
8/11/2010	1089.47	-0.03408	129.83	-0.01103	
8/18/2010	1094.16	0.00430	129.39	-0.00338	
8/25/2010	1055.33	-0.03613	125.27	-0.03238	
9/1/2010	1080.29	0.02338	125.77	0.00398	
9/8/2010	1098.87	0.01705	126.08	0.00246	
Standard deviation	0.02800	0.02486			
Standard deviation $\times $	52 0.20194	0.17926			

- ► Volatility computation should exclude dividend.
- ▶ But since dividends are small and infrequent; the standard deviation will be similar whether you exclude dividends or not when computing the standard deviation.

Example 10.2-1 Consider a European call option on a stock, with a \$40 strike and 1 year to expiration. The stock does not pay dividends, and its current price is \$41. Suppose the volatility of the stock is 30%. The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%.

- 1. the final stock prices uS and dS
- 2. the final option values  $C_u$  and  $C_d$
- 3.  $\triangle$  and *E*
- 4. the option price:  $\Delta S + B$ .

Example 10.2-1 Consider a European call option on a stock, with a \$40 strike and 1 year to expiration. The stock does not pay dividends, and its current price is \$41. Suppose the volatility of the stock is 30%. The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%.

- 1. the final stock prices uS and dS
- 2. the final option values  $C_u$  and  $C_d$
- 3.  $\Delta$  and E
- 4. the option price:  $\Delta S + B$ .

Example 10.2-1 Consider a European call option on a stock, with a \$40 strike and 1 year to expiration. The stock does not pay dividends, and its current price is \$41. Suppose the volatility of the stock is 30%. The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%.

- 1. the final stock prices uS and dS
- 2. the final option values  $C_u$  and  $C_d$
- 3.  $\Delta$  and B
- 4. the option price:  $\Delta S + B$ .

Example 10.2-1 Consider a European call option on a stock, with a \$40 strike and 1 year to expiration. The stock does not pay dividends, and its current price is \$41. Suppose the volatility of the stock is 30%. The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%.

- 1. the final stock prices uS and dS
- 2. the final option values  $C_u$  and  $C_d$
- 3.  $\Delta$  and B
- 4. the option price:  $\Delta S + B$ .

Example 10.2-1 Consider a European call option on a stock, with a \$40 strike and 1 year to expiration. The stock does not pay dividends, and its current price is \$41. Suppose the volatility of the stock is 30%. The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%.

- 1. the final stock prices uS and dS
- 2. the final option values  $C_u$  and  $C_d$
- 3.  $\Delta$  and B
- 4. the option price:  $\Delta S + B$ .

$$S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$$
  
 $US = \$59.954$   
 $C_u = \$19.954$   
 $Option price = \$7.839$   
 $\Delta = 0.738$   
 $B = -\$22.405$   
 $dS = \$32.903$   
 $C_d = \$0.000$ 

Solution. In summary:  $S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$ 



22

$$S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$$



22

$$S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$$



22

$$S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$$



22

 $\square$ 

$$S = 41, K = 40, r = 0.08, \delta = 0, \sigma = 0.30, h = 1.$$



22

 $\square$ 

### Questions

- ▶ How to handle more than one binomial period?
- ▶ How to price put options?
- ▶ How to price American options?

### Questions

- ▶ How to handle more than one binomial period?
- ► How to price put options?
- ▶ How to price American options?

### Questions

- ▶ How to handle more than one binomial period?
- ► How to price put options?
- ▶ How to price American options?

- $\$  10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

#### FIGURE 10.4

Binomial tree for pricing a European call option; assumes S = \$41.00, K = $$40.00, \sigma = 0.30, r = 0.08, T = 2.00$  years,  $\delta = 0.00$ , and h = 1.000. At each node the stock price, option price,  $\Delta$ , and *B* are given. Option prices in **bold italic** signify that exercise is optimal at that node.



### ▶ The option price is greater for the 2-year than for the 1-year option

- ▶ The option was priced by working **backward** through the binomial tree.
- ▶ The option's  $\Delta$  and B are different at different nodes. At a given point in time,  $\Delta$  increases to 1 as we go further into the money
- ▶ Permitting early exercise would make no difference. At every node prior to expiration, the option price is greater than S-K; hence, we would not exercise even if the option had been American.

- ► The option price is greater for the 2-year than for the 1-year option
- ▶ The option was priced by working backward through the binomial tree.
- ▶ The option's  $\Delta$  and B are different at different nodes. At a given point in time,  $\Delta$  increases to 1 as we go further into the money
- ▶ Permitting early exercise would make no difference. At every node prior to expiration, the option price is greater than S-K; hence, we would not exercise even if the option had been American.

- ▶ The option price is greater for the 2-year than for the 1-year option
- ▶ The option was priced by working backward through the binomial tree.
- ▶ The option's  $\Delta$  and B are different at different nodes. At a given point in time,  $\Delta$  increases to 1 as we go further into the money
- ▶ Permitting early exercise would make no difference. At every node prior to expiration, the option price is greater than S-K; hence, we would not exercise even if the option had been American.

- $\blacktriangleright\,$  The option price is greater for the 2-year than for the 1-year option
- ▶ The option was priced by working backward through the binomial tree.
- ▶ The option's  $\Delta$  and B are different at different nodes. At a given point in time,  $\Delta$  increases to 1 as we go further into the money
- Permitting early exercise would make no difference. At every node prior to expiration, the option price is greater than S-K; hence, we would not exercise even if the option had been American.

Dividing the time to expiration into more periods allows us to generate a more realistic tree with a larger number of different values at expiration.



- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- $\$  10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- § 10.3 Two or more binomial periods

### § 10.4 Put options

- § 10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

We compute put option prices using the same stock price tree and in almost the same way as call option prices

The only difference with a European put option occurs at expiration Instead of computing the price as

 $\max\left(0,\boldsymbol{S}-\boldsymbol{K}\right)$ 

we use

 $\max\left(0, \boldsymbol{K} - \boldsymbol{S}\right)$ 

#### FIGURE 10.6

Binomial tree for pricing a European put option; assumes S = \$41.00, K =\$40.00,  $\sigma = 0.30$ , r = 0.08, T = 1.00 years,  $\delta = 0.00$ , and h = 0.333. At each node the stock price, option price,  $\Delta$ , and *B* are given. Option prices in **bold italic** signify that exercise is optimal at that node.



- $\$  10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- § 10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- $\$  10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

At each node we use the following formula to compute the price:

$$P(S,K,t) = \max\left(K - S, e^{-th} \left[P(uS,K,t+h)p^* + P(dS,K,t+h)(1-p^*)\right]\right)$$

$$p^* = \frac{e^{(r-\delta)h} - d}{u-d}$$

Or simply

$$P(S, K, t) = \max(K - S, \Delta S + B)$$

#### FIGURE 10.7

Binomial tree for pricing an American put option; assumes S = \$41.00, K =\$40.00,  $\sigma = 0.30$ , r = 0.08, T = 1.00 years,  $\delta = 0.00$ , and h = 0.333. At each node the stock price, option price,  $\Delta$ , and *B* are given. Option prices in **bold italic** signify that exercise is optimal at that node.



- $\$  10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- § 10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

This section is left for motivated students.

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- $\$  10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- $\$  10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- $\$  10.6 Options on other assets
- $\$  10.7 Problems

- § 10.1 A one-period Binomial tree
- § 10.2 Constructing a Binomial tree
- § 10.3 Two or more binomial periods
- § 10.4 Put options
- § 10.5 American options
- § 10.6 Options on other assets
- § 10.7 Problems

Problems: 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.6, 10.7, 10.8, 10.10, 10.12.

Due Date: TBA