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It is possible to mimic a long forward position on an asset by

$$
\text { buying a call }+ \text { selling a put, }
$$

with each option having the same strike price and expiration time.


A synthetic forward

## Example 3.2-1 Working with the S\&R index. Suppose that

| 6-month interest rate | $2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| premium for 1000-strike 6-month call | $\$ 93.809$ |
| premium for 1000-strike 6-month put | $\$ 74.201$ |

Draw profit digram for the combined position of a purchased call with a written put, namely,


## Solution.



## A synthetic long forward contract

We pay the net option premium
We pay the strike price

## The actual forward

We pay zero premium
We pay the forward price

## Basic Assumption

The net cost of buying the index using options must equal
the net cost of buying the index using a forward contract.

## NO ARBITRAGE!

## The Put-Call parity equation

$$
\operatorname{Call}(K, T)-\operatorname{Put}(K, T)=\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}-K\right)
$$

- K: strike price
- $T$ : expiration date
$\rightarrow$ Call( $\cdot, \circ$ ): the premium for call.
- Put(•,o): the premium for put.
- $F_{0, T}$ : the forward price at time $T$ if one enters at time 0 into a long forward position.
$-\mathrm{PV}(\cdot)$ : the present value function.

Example 3.2-2 Check Example 3.2-1 to see if the put-call parity equation is satisfied.

Solution. We need to check:

$$
\$ 93.809-\$ 74.201 \stackrel{?}{=} \mathrm{PV}(\$ 1,000 \times 1.02-\$ 1,000)
$$

Clearly, LHS $=\$ 19.61$. On the other hand, the RHS is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{PV}(\$ 1,000 \times 1.02-\$ 1,000) & =\operatorname{PV}(1,000 \times(1.02-1)) \\
& =\operatorname{PV}(1,000 \times 0.02) \\
& =\frac{1,000 \times 0.02}{1.02} \\
& =\$ 19.61
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the put-call parity equation is satisfied.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Call}(K, T)-\operatorname{Put}(K, T)=\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}-K\right) \\
\Uparrow \\
\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}\right)+\operatorname{Put}(K, T)=\operatorname{Call}(K, T)+\operatorname{PV}(K)
\end{gathered}
$$

Buying the index and buying the put
generate the same payoff as
buying the call and buying a zero-coupon bond (i.e. lending) PV(K)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Call}(K, T)-\operatorname{Put}(K, T)=\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}-K\right) \\
\Uparrow \\
\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}\right)-\operatorname{Call}(K, T)=\operatorname{PV}(K)-\operatorname{Put}(K, T)
\end{gathered}
$$

Writing a covered call
has the same profit as
lending $\mathrm{PV}(\mathrm{K})$ and selling a put

$$
\operatorname{Call}(K, T)-\operatorname{Put}(K, T)=\operatorname{PV}\left(F_{0, T}\right)-\operatorname{PV}(K)
$$

Revisit four positions in Section 3.1

| Position | Meaning | equivalent to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inuring a long position (floors) | Index + Put | Bound + Call |
| Inuring a short position (caps) | - Index + Call | - Bound + Put |
| Covered call writing | Index - Call | Bound - Put |
| Covered put writing | -Index - Put | - Bound - Call |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on Robert L. McDonald's Derivatives Markets, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

