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### 8.1 Orthogonal Complements and Projections

If $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{m}\right\}$ is linearly independent in a general vector space, and if $\mathbf{v}_{m+1}$ is not in span $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{m}\right\}$, then $\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{m}, \mathbf{v}_{m+1}\right\}$ is independent (Lemma 6.4.1). Here is the analog for orthogonal sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## Lemma 8.1.1: Orthogonal Lemma

Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$ be an orthogonal set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, write

$$
\boldsymbol{f}_{m+1}=\mathbf{x}-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{1}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|^{2}} \boldsymbol{f}_{1}-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{2}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}} \boldsymbol{f}_{2}-\cdots-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{m}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\|^{2}} \boldsymbol{f}_{m}
$$

Then:

1. $\boldsymbol{f}_{m+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{k}=0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, m$.
2. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ is not in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$, then $\boldsymbol{f}_{m+1} \neq \boldsymbol{O}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}, \boldsymbol{f}_{m+1}\right\}$ is an orthogonal set.

Proof. For convenience, write $t_{i}=\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}\right) /\left\|\mathbf{f}_{i}\right\|^{2}$ for each $i$. Given $1 \leq k \leq m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}_{m+1} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k} & =\left(\mathbf{x}-t_{1} \mathbf{f}_{1}-\cdots-t_{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}-\cdots-t_{m} \mathbf{f}_{m}\right) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k} \\
& =\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k}-t_{1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k}\right)-\cdots-t_{k}\left(\mathbf{f}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k}\right)-\cdots-t_{m}\left(\mathbf{f}_{m} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k}-t_{k}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (1), and (2) follows because $\mathbf{f}_{m+1} \neq \mathbf{0}$ if $\mathbf{x}$ is not in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$.
The orthogonal lemma has three important consequences for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The first is an extension for orthogonal sets of the fundamental fact that any independent set is part of a basis (Theorem 6.4.1).

## Theorem 8.1.1

Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

1. Every orthogonal subset $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$ in $U$ is a subset of an orthogonal basis of $U$.
2. $U$ has an orthogonal basis.

## Proof.

1. If $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}=U$, it is already a basis. Otherwise, there exists $\mathbf{x}$ in $U$ outside $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$. If $\mathbf{f}_{m+1}$ is as given in the orthogonal lemma, then $\mathbf{f}_{m+1}$ is in $U$ and $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}, \mathbf{f}_{m+1}\right\}$ is orthogonal. If $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}, \mathbf{f}_{m+1}\right\}=U$, we are done. Otherwise,
the process continues to create larger and larger orthogonal subsets of $U$. They are all independent by Theorem 5.3.5, so we have a basis when we reach a subset containing $\operatorname{dim} U$ vectors.
2. If $U=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, the empty basis is orthogonal. Otherwise, if $\mathbf{f} \neq \mathbf{0}$ is in $U$, then $\{\mathbf{f}\}$ is orthogonal, so (2) follows from (1).

We can improve upon (2) of Theorem 8.1.1. In fact, the second consequence of the orthogonal lemma is a procedure by which any basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right\}$ of a subspace $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be systematically modified to yield an orthogonal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ of $U$. The $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ are constructed one at a time from the $\mathbf{x}_{i}$.

To start the process, take $\mathbf{f}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1}$. Then $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ is not in span $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\}$ because $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\}$ is independent, so take

$$
\mathbf{f}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}
$$

Thus $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}$ is orthogonal by Lemma 8.1.1. Moreover, $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\}$ (verify), so $\mathbf{x}_{3}$ is not in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}$. Hence $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \mathbf{f}_{3}\right\}$ is orthogonal where

$$
\mathbf{f}_{3}=\mathbf{x}_{3}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{3} \cdot f_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{3} \cdot f_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{\mathbf{2}}} \mathbf{f}_{2}
$$

Again, $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \mathbf{f}_{3}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}\right\}$, so $\mathbf{x}_{4}$ is not in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \mathbf{f}_{3}\right\}$ and the process continues. At the $m$ th iteration we construct an orthogonal set $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right\}=U
$$

Hence $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ is the desired orthogonal basis of $U$. The procedure can be summarized as follows.


Theorem 8.1.2: Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Algorithm ${ }^{1}$

If $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right\}$ is any basis of a subspace $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, construct $\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}$ in $U$ successively as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} & =\mathbf{x}_{1} \\
f_{2} & =x_{2}-\frac{x_{2} \cdot f_{1}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|^{2}} f_{1} \\
f_{3} & =x_{3}-\frac{x_{3} \cdot f_{1}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|^{2}} f_{1}-\frac{x_{3} \cdot f_{2}}{\left\|f_{2}\right\|^{2}} f_{2} \\
\vdots & \\
f_{k} & =x_{k}-\frac{x_{k} \cdot f_{1}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|^{2}} f_{1}-\frac{x_{k} \cdot f_{2}}{\left\|f_{2}\right\|^{2}} f_{2}-\cdots-\frac{x_{k} \cdot f_{k-1}}{\left\|f_{k-1}\right\|^{2}} f_{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $k=2,3, \ldots, m$. Then

1. $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $U$.
2. $\operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{k}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k}\right\}$ for each $k=1,2, \ldots, m$.

The process (for $k=3$ ) is depicted in the diagrams. Of course, the algorithm converts any basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ itself into an orthogonal basis.

## Example 8.1.1

Find an orthogonal basis of the row space of $A=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Solution. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}$ denote the rows of $A$ and observe that $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}\right\}$ is linearly independent. Take $\mathbf{f}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1}$. The algorithm gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{f}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}=(3,2,0,1)-\frac{4}{4}(1,1,-1,-1)=(2,1,1,2) \\
& \mathbf{f}_{3}=\mathbf{x}_{3}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{3}-\frac{0}{4} \mathbf{f}_{1}-\frac{3}{10} \mathbf{f}_{2}=\frac{1}{10}(4,-3,7,-6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{(1,1,-1,-1),(2,1,1,2), \frac{1}{10}(4,-3,7,-6)\right\}$ is the orthogonal basis provided by the algorithm. In hand calculations it may be convenient to eliminate fractions (see the Remark below), so $\{(1,1,-1,-1),(2,1,1,2),(4,-3,7,-6)\}$ is also an orthogonal basis for row $A$.

[^0]
## Remark

Observe that the vector $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}}{\| \mathbf{f}_{i} \mathbf{f}^{2}}$ is unchanged if a nonzero scalar multiple of $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ is used in place of $\mathbf{f}_{i}$. Hence, if a newly constructed $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ is multiplied by a nonzero scalar at some stage of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm, the subsequent fs will be unchanged. This is useful in actual calculations.

## Projections



Suppose a point $\mathbf{x}$ and a plane $U$ through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are given, and we want to find the point $\mathbf{p}$ in the plane that is closest to $\mathbf{x}$. Our geometric intuition assures us that such a point $\mathbf{p}$ exists. In fact (see the diagram), $\mathbf{p}$ must be chosen in such a way that $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is perpendicular to the plane.

Now we make two observations: first, the plane $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (because $U$ contains the origin); and second, that the condition that $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is perpendicular to the plane $U$ means that $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is orthogonal to every vector in $U$. In these terms the whole discussion makes sense in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Furthermore, the orthogonal lemma provides exactly what is needed to find $\mathbf{p}$ in this more general setting.

## Definition 8.1 Orthogonal Complement of a Subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, define the orthogonal complement $U^{\perp}$ of $U$ (pronounced "U-perp") by

$$
U^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0 \text { for all } \mathbf{y} \text { in } U\right\}
$$

The following lemma collects some useful properties of the orthogonal complement; the proof of (1) and (2) is left as Exercise 8.1.6.

## Lemma 8.1.2

Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

1. $U^{\perp}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
2. $\{\boldsymbol{0}\}^{\perp}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{\perp}=\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$.
3. If $U=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$, then $U^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{x}\right.$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}=0$ for $\left.i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}$.

## Proof.

3. Let $U=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$; we must show that $U^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}=0\right.$ for each $\left.i\right\}$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U^{\perp}$ then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}=0$ for all $i$ because each $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is in $U$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}=0$ for all $i$; we must show that $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U^{\perp}$, that is, $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$ for each $\mathbf{y}$ in $U$. Write $\mathbf{y}=r_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1}+r_{2} \mathbf{x}_{2}+\cdots+r_{k} \mathbf{x}_{k}$, where each $r_{i}$ is in $\mathbb{R}$. Then, using Theorem 5.3.1,

$$
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=r_{1}\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)+r_{2}\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)+\cdots+r_{k}\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{k}\right)=r_{1} 0+r_{2} 0+\cdots+r_{k} 0=0
$$

as required.

## Example 8.1.2

Find $U^{\perp}$ if $U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-1,2,0),(1,0,-2,3)\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
Solution. By Lemma 8.1.2, $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z, w)$ is in $U^{\perp}$ if and only if it is orthogonal to both $(1,-1,2,0)$ and $(1,0,-2,3)$; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x-y+2 z & =0 \\
x-2 z+3 w & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Gaussian elimination gives $U^{\perp}=\operatorname{span}\{(2,4,1,0),(3,3,0,-1)\}$.

Now consider vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The projection $\mathbf{p}=$
 $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{x}$ of $\mathbf{x}$ on $\mathbf{d}$ was defined in Section 4.2 as in the diagram.

The following formula for $\mathbf{p}$ was derived in Theorem 4.2.4

$$
\mathbf{p}=\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{x}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{\|\mathbf{d}\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{d}
$$

where it is shown that $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{d}$. Now observe that the line $U=\mathbb{R} \mathbf{d}=\{t \mathbf{d} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, that $\{\mathbf{d}\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $U$, and that $\mathbf{p} \in U$ and $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p} \in U^{\perp}$ (by Theorem 4.2.4).

In this form, this makes sense for any vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and any subspace $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so we generalize it as follows. If $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $U$, we define the projection $\mathbf{p}$ of $\mathbf{x}$ on $U$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{1}+\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{2}+\cdots+\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{m}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{m}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{m} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathbf{p} \in U$ and (by the orthogonal lemma) $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p} \in U^{\perp}$, so it looks like we have a generalization of Theorem 4.2.4.

However there is a potential problem: the formula (8.1) for $\mathbf{p}$ must be shown to be independent of the choice of the orthogonal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$. To verify this, suppose that $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ is another orthogonal basis of $U$, and write

$$
\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}^{2}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{m}^{\prime}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{m}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}\right) \mathbf{f}_{m}^{\prime}
$$

As before, $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \in U$ and $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \in U^{\perp}$, and we must show that $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}$. To see this, write the vector $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)-(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})
$$

This vector is in $U$ (because $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ are in $U$ ) and it is in $U^{\perp}$ (because $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ are in $U^{\perp}$ ), and so it must be zero (it is orthogonal to itself!). This means $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}$ as desired.

Hence, the vector $\mathbf{p}$ in equation (8.1) depends only on $\mathbf{x}$ and the subspace $U$, and not on the choice of orthogonal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ of $U$ used to compute it. Thus, we are entitled to make the following definition:

## Definition 8.2 Projection onto a Subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with orthogonal basis $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the vector

$$
\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}+\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{2}+\cdots+\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{m}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{m}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{m}
$$

is called the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{x}$ on $U$. For the zero subspace $U=\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$, we define

$$
\operatorname{proj}_{\{\mathbf{0}\}} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}
$$

The preceding discussion proves (1) of the following theorem.

## Theorem 8.1.3: Projection Theorem

If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ is in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, write $\boldsymbol{p}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$. Then:

1. $\boldsymbol{p}$ is in $U$ and $\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{p}$ is in $U^{\perp}$.
2. $\boldsymbol{p}$ is the vector in $U$ closest to $\mathbf{x}$ in the sense that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{p}\|<\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\| \quad \text { for all } \boldsymbol{y} \in U, \boldsymbol{y} \neq \boldsymbol{p}
$$

## Proof.

1. This is proved in the preceding discussion (it is clear if $U=\{0\}$ ).
2. Write $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}=(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})+(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{y})$. Then $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{y}$ is in $U$ and so is orthogonal to $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ by (1). Hence, the Pythagorean theorem gives

$$
\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}=\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}>\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}\|^{2}
$$

because $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$. This gives (2).

## Example 8.1.3

Let $U=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ where $\mathbf{x}_{1}=(1,1,0,1)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}=(0,1,1,2)$. If $\mathbf{x}=(3,-1,0,2)$, find the vector in $U$ closest to $\mathbf{x}$ and express $\mathbf{x}$ as the sum of a vector in $U$ and a vector orthogonal to $U$.

Solution. $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\}$ is independent but not orthogonal. The Gram-Schmidt process gives an orthogonal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}$ of $U$ where $\mathbf{f}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1}=(1,1,0,1)$ and

$$
\mathbf{f}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{2}-\frac{3}{3} \mathbf{f}_{1}=(-1,0,1,1)
$$

Hence, we can compute the projection using $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}$ :

$$
\mathbf{p}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}+\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{2}=\frac{4}{3} \mathbf{f}_{1}+\frac{-1}{3} \mathbf{f}_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
5 & 4 & -1 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, $\mathbf{p}$ is the vector in $U$ closest to $\mathbf{x}$, and $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}=\frac{1}{3}(4,-7,1,3)$ is orthogonal to every vector in $U$. (This can be verified by checking that it is orthogonal to the generators $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ of $U$.) The required decomposition of $\mathbf{x}$ is thus

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}+(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})=\frac{1}{3}(5,4,-1,3)+\frac{1}{3}(4,-7,1,3)
$$

## Example 8.1.4

Find the point in the plane with equation $2 x+y-z=0$ that is closest to the point (2, $-1,-3$ ).

Solution. We write $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as rows. The plane is the subspace $U$ whose points $(x, y, z)$ satisfy $z=2 x+y$. Hence

$$
U=\{(s, t, 2 s+t) \mid s, t \text { in } \mathbb{R}\}=\operatorname{span}\{(0,1,1),(1,0,2)\}
$$

The Gram-Schmidt process produces an orthogonal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}\right\}$ of $U$ where $\mathbf{f}_{1}=(0,1,1)$ and $\mathbf{f}_{2}=(1,-1,1)$. Hence, the vector in $U$ closest to $\mathbf{x}=(2,-1,-3)$ is

$$
\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{1}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{1}+\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{2}\right\|^{2}} \mathbf{f}_{2}=-2 \mathbf{f}_{1}+0 \mathbf{f}_{2}=(0,-2,-2)
$$

Thus, the point in $U$ closest to $(2,-1,-3)$ is $(0,-2,-2)$.

The next theorem shows that projection on a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is actually a linear operator $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## Theorem 8.1.4

Let $U$ be a fixed subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If we define $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
T(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x} \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

1. $T$ is a linear operator.
2. $\operatorname{im} T=U$ and $\operatorname{ker} T=U^{\perp}$.
3. $\operatorname{dim} U+\operatorname{dim} U^{\perp}=n$.

Proof. If $U=\{0\}$, then $U^{\perp}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and so $T(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{proj}_{\{0\}} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ for all $\mathbf{x}$. Thus $T=0$ is the zero (linear) operator, so (1), (2), and (3) hold. Hence assume that $U \neq\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

1. If $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\mathbf{x})=\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}\right) \mathbf{f}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}\right) \mathbf{f}_{2}+\cdots+\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{m}\right) \mathbf{f}_{m} \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the definition of the projection. Thus $T$ is linear because

$$
(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}+\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad(r \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}=r\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}\right) \quad \text { for each } i
$$

2. We have im $T \subseteq U$ by (8.2) because each $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ is in $U$. But if $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U$, then $\mathbf{x}=T(\mathbf{x})$ by (8.2) and the expansion theorem applied to the space $U$. This shows that $U \subseteq \operatorname{im} T$, so im $T=U$. Now suppose that $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U^{\perp}$. Then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{i}=0$ for each $i$ (again because each $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ is in $U$ ) so $\mathbf{x}$ is in ker $T$ by (8.2). Hence $U^{\perp} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} T$. On the other hand, Theorem 8.1.3 shows that $\mathbf{x}-T(\mathbf{x})$ is in $U^{\perp}$ for all $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and it follows that $\operatorname{ker} T \subseteq U^{\perp}$. Hence $\operatorname{ker} T=U^{\perp}$, proving (2).
3. This follows from (1), (2), and the dimension theorem (Theorem 7.2.4).

## Exercises for 8.1

Exercise 8.1.1 In each case, use the GramSchmidt algorithm to convert the given basis $B$ of $V$ into an orthogonal basis.
a. $V=\mathbb{R}^{2}, B=\{(1,-1),(2,1)\}$
b. $V=\mathbb{R}^{2}, B=\{(2,1),(1,2)\}$
c. $V=\mathbb{R}^{3}, B=\{(1,-1,1),(1,0,1),(1,1,2)\}$
d. $V=\mathbb{R}^{3}, B=\{(0,1,1),(1,1,1),(1,-2,2)\}$
b. $\left\{(2,1), \frac{3}{5}(-1,2)\right\}$
d. $\{(0,1,1),(1,0,0),(0,-2,2)\}$

Exercise 8.1.2 In each case, write $\mathbf{x}$ as the sum of a vector in $U$ and a vector in $U^{\perp}$.
a. $\mathbf{x}=(1,5,7), U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-2,3),(-1,1,1)\}$
b. $\mathbf{x}=(2,1,6), U=\operatorname{span}\{(3,-1,2),(2,0,-3)\}$
c. $\mathbf{x}=(3,1,5,9)$,
$U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,0,1,1),(0,1,-1,1),(-2,0,1,1)\}$
d. $\mathbf{x}=(2,0,1,6)$,
$U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,1,1,1),(1,1,-1,-1),(1,-1,1,-1)\}$
e. $\mathbf{x}=(a, b, c, d)$,
$U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,0,0,0),(0,1,0,0),(0,0,1,0)\}$
f. $\mathbf{x}=(a, b, c, d)$,
$U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-1,2,0),(-1,1,1,1)\}$
b. $\mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{182}(271,-221,1030)+\frac{1}{182}(93,403,62)$
d. $\mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{4}(1,7,11,17)+\frac{1}{4}(7,-7,-7,7)$
f. $\mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{12}(5 a-5 b+c-3 d,-5 a+5 b-c+3 d, a-$ $b+11 c+3 d,-3 a+3 b+3 c+3 d)+\frac{1}{12}(7 a+5 b-$ $c+3 d, 5 a+7 b+c-3 d,-a+b+c-3 d, 3 a-$ $3 b-3 c+9 d)$

Exercise 8.1.3 Let $\mathbf{x}=(1,-2,1,6)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, and let $U=\operatorname{span}\{(2,1,3,-4),(1,2,0,1)\}$.
a. Compute $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$.
b. Show that $\{(1,0,2,-3),(4,7,1,2)\}$ is another orthogonal basis of $U$.
c. Use the basis in part (b) to compute $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$.
a. $\frac{1}{10}(-9,3,-21,33)=\frac{3}{10}(-3,1,-7,11)$
c. $\frac{1}{70}(-63,21,-147,231)=\frac{3}{10}(-3,1,-7,11)$

Exercise 8.1.4 In each case, use the GramSchmidt algorithm to find an orthogonal basis of the subspace $U$, and find the vector in $U$ closest to $\mathbf{x}$.
a. $U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,1,1),(0,1,1)\}, \mathbf{x}=(-1,2,1)$
b. $U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-1,0),(-1,0,1)\}, \mathbf{x}=(2,1,0)$
c. $U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,0,1,0),(1,1,1,0),(1,1,0,0)\}$, $\mathrm{x}=(2,0,-1,3)$
d. $U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-1,0,1),(1,1,0,0),(1,1,0,1)\}$,Exercise 8.1.10 If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, show that $\mathrm{x}=(2,0,3,1)$
b. $\left\{(1,-1,0), \frac{1}{2}(-1,-1,2)\right\} ; \quad \operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=$ $(1,0,-1)$
d. $\left\{(1,-1,0,1),(1,1,0,0), \frac{1}{3}(-1,1,0,2)\right\}$; $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=(2,0,0,1)$

Exercise 8.1.5 Let $U=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{k}\right\}, \mathbf{v}_{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $A$ be the $k \times n$ matrix with the $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ as rows.
a. Show that $U^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}, A \mathbf{x}^{T}=\mathbf{0}\right\}$.
b. Use part (a) to find $U^{\perp}$ if
$U=\operatorname{span}\{(1,-1,2,1),(1,0,-1,1)\}$.
b. $U^{\perp}=\operatorname{span}\{(1,3,1,0),(-1,0,0,1)\}$

## Exercise 8.1.6

a. Prove part 1 of Lemma 8.1.2.
b. Prove part 2 of Lemma 8.1.2.

Exercise 8.1.7 Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written in any way at all as $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}$ with $\mathbf{p}$ in $U$ and $\mathbf{q}$ in $U^{\perp}$, show that necessarily $\mathbf{p}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$.
Exercise 8.1.8 Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let x be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Using Exercise 8.1.7, or otherwise, show that $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$.

Write $\mathbf{p}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$. Then $\mathbf{p}$ is in $U$ by definition. If $\mathbf{x}$ is $U$, then $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is in $U$. But $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is also in $U^{\perp}$ by Theorem 8.1.3, so $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}$ is in $U \cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Thus $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{p}$.
Exercise 8.1.9 Let $U$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
a. Show that $U^{\perp}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if and only if $U=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.
b. Show that $U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ if and only if $U=\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x}$ in $U$.
Let $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $U$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is in $U$ the expansion theorem gives $\mathbf{x}=$ $\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{1}\right) \mathbf{f}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{2}\right) \mathbf{f}_{2}+\cdots+\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{m}\right) \mathbf{f}_{m}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$.
Exercise 8.1.11 If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, show that $\mathbf{x}=\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}+\operatorname{proj}_{U^{\perp}} \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Exercise 8.1.12 If $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $U=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}\right\}$, show that $U^{\perp}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$.

Exercise 8.1.13 If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, show that $U^{\perp \perp}=U$. [Hint: Show that $U \subseteq U^{\perp \perp}$, then use Theorem 8.1.4 (3) twice.]
Exercise 8.1.14 If $U$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, show how to find an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ such that $U=\{\mathbf{x} \mid A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}\}$. [Hint: Exercise 8.1.13.]
Let $\left\{\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{m}\right\}$ be a basis of $U^{\perp}$, and let $A$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with rows $\mathbf{y}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{y}_{2}^{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{m}^{T}, 0, \ldots, 0$. Then $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{y}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{x}=0$ for each $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$; if and only if x is in $U^{\perp \perp}=U$.

Exercise 8.1.15 Write $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as rows. If $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, write its null space as null $A=\left\{\mathrm{x}\right.$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \mid$ $\left.A \mathbf{x}^{T}=\mathbf{0}\right\}$. Show that:
a) null $A=(\operatorname{row} A)^{\perp}$;
b) null $A^{T}=(\operatorname{col} A)^{\perp}$.

Exercise 8.1.16 If $U$ and $W$ are subspaces, show that $(U+W)^{\perp}=U^{\perp} \cap W^{\perp}$. [See Exercise 5.1.22.]
Exercise 8.1.17 Think of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as consisting of rows.
a. Let $E$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, and let $U=\left\{\mathrm{x} E \mid \mathrm{x}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. Show that the following are equivalent.
i. $E^{2}=E=E^{T}$ ( $E$ is a projection matrix).
ii. $(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x} E) \cdot(\mathrm{y} E)=0$ for all x and y in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
iii. $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x} E$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. [Hint: For (ii) implies (iii): Write $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x} E+(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x} E)$ and use the uniqueness argument preceding the definition of $\operatorname{proj}_{U} \mathbf{x}$. For (iii) implies (ii): $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x} E$ is in $U^{\perp}$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.]
b. If $E$ is a projection matrix, show that $I-E$ is also a projection matrix.
c. If $E F=0=F E$ and $E$ and $F$ are projection matrices, show that $E+F$ is also a projection matrix.
d. If $A$ is $m \times n$ and $A A^{T}$ is invertible, show that $E=A^{T}\left(A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A$ is a projection matrix.
d. $E^{T}=A^{T}\left[\left(A A^{T}\right)^{-} 1\right]^{T}\left(A^{T}\right)^{T}=A^{T}\left[\left(A A^{T}\right)^{T}\right]^{-1} A=$ $A^{T}\left[A A^{T}\right]^{-1} A=E E^{2}=A^{T}\left(A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A A^{T}\left(A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A=$ $A^{T}\left(A A^{T}\right)^{-1} A=E$

Exercise 8.1.18 Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix of rank $r$. Show that there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix $U$ such that $U A$ is a row-echelon matrix with the property that the first $r$ rows are orthogonal. [Hint: Let $R$ be the row-echelon form of $A$, and use the GramSchmidt process on the nonzero rows of $R$ from the bottom up. Use Lemma 2.4.1.]

Exercise 8.1.19 Let $A$ be an $(n-1) \times n$ matrix with rows $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}$ and let $A_{i}$ denote the
$(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix obtained from $A$ by deleting column $i$. Define the vector $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\mathbf{y}=\left[\operatorname{det} A_{1}-\operatorname{det} A_{2} \operatorname{det} A_{3} \cdots(-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{det} A_{n}\right]
$$

Show that:
a. $\mathbf{x}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. [Hint: Write $B_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{i} \\ A\end{array}\right]$ and show that $\operatorname{det} B_{i}=0$.]
b. $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\right\}$ is linearly independent. [Hint: If some $\operatorname{det} A_{i} \neq 0$, the rows of $A_{i}$ are linearly independent. Conversely, if the $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ are independent, consider $A=U R$ where $R$ is in reduced row-echelon form.]
c. If $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n-1}\right\}$ is linearly independent, use Theorem 8.1.3(3) to show that all solutions to the system of $n-1$ homogeneous equations

$$
A \mathrm{x}^{T}=\mathbf{0}
$$

are given by $t \mathbf{y}, t$ a parameter.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Erhardt Schmidt (1876-1959) was a German mathematician who studied under the great David Hilbert and later developed the theory of Hilbert spaces. He first described the present algorithm in 1907. Jörgen Pederson Gram (1850-1916) was a Danish actuary.

