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## Similar Matrices

## Definition (Similar Matrices)

Let A and B be $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices. A is similar to B , written $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$, if there exists an invertible matrix $P$ such that $B=P^{-1} A P$.

## Lemma

Similarity is an equivalence relation, i.e., for $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C

1. $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{A}$ (reflexive);
2. if $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$, then $\mathrm{B} \sim \mathrm{A}$ (symmetric);
3. if $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{B} \sim \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{C}$ (transitive).

Proof.

1. Since $A=I_{n} \mathrm{AI}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{n}^{-1}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{I}_{n}^{-1} \mathrm{AI}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{A}$.
2. Suppose $A \sim B$. Then there exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix $P$ such that $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}$. Multiplying both sides on the left by P , on the right by $\mathrm{P}^{-1}$, and simplifying gives us $\mathrm{PBP}^{-1}=\mathrm{A}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right)$, so $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$.

## Proof. (continued)

3. Since $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{B} \sim \mathrm{C}$, there exist invertible $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices P and Q such that

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP} \text { and } \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{BQ} \text {. }
$$

Thus

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{BQ}=\mathrm{Q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right) \mathrm{Q}=\left(\mathrm{Q}^{-1} \mathrm{P}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{PQ})=(\mathrm{PQ})^{-1} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{PQ}),
$$

where PQ is invertible, and hence $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{C}$.

## Definition

If $\mathrm{A}=\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix, then the trace of A is

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ai}} .
$$

Lemma (Properties of trace)
For $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices A and B , and any $\mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{R}$,

1. $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})+\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{B})$;
2. $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{kA})=\mathrm{k} \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})$;
3. $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{AB})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{BA})$.

## Proof.

The proofs of (1) and (2) are trivial. As for (3), ...

Recall that for any $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix A , the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A}),
$$

and is a polynomial of degree $n$.

Theorem (Properties of Similar Matrices)
If A and B are $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices and $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{B}$, then

1. $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{B})$;
2. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{B})$;
3. $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{B})$;
4. $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})$;
5. A and $B$ have the same eigenvalues.

Proof.
Since $A \sim B$, there exists an $n \times n$ invertible matrix $P$ so that $B=P^{-1} A P$.

1. $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})$.

Since P is invertible, $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})}$, so

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{B})=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})} \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})} \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A}) .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A})$.
2. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right)$.

Since P is invertible, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)$, since $\mathrm{P}^{-1}$ is invertible, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)=\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{A})$.
Therefore, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{A})$.
3. $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{B})=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{P}\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{PP}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{A}\right]=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{IA})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})$.

Proof. (continued)
4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{B}) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{xP}^{-1} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{xP}-\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{P}^{-1}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P}) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since P is invertible, $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})}$, so

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P})} \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{P}) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})
$$

5. Since the eigenvalues of a matrix are the roots of the characteristic polynomial, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})$ implies that A and B have the same eigenvalues.
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## Diagonalization Revisited

Recall that if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of A , then $\mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}$ for some nonzero vector $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Such a vector $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}$ is called a $\lambda$-eigenvector of A or an eigenvector of A corresponding to $\lambda$.

## Definition (Diagonalizable - rephrased)

An $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix A is diagonalizable if $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{D}$ for some diagonal matrix D .

## Remark ( Diagonalizability )

Determining whether or not a square matrix A is diagonalizable is done by checking whether
the number of linearly independent eigenvectors

- geometric multiplicity
||?
the multiplicity of each eigenvalue
- algebraic multiplicity


## Example

Let $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$. Then $\lambda=-1$ is an eigenvalue of A , and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is a ( -1 )-eigenvector of A since

$$
A \vec{x}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=(-1)\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Theorem
Suppose A is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix.

1. The eigenvalues of A are the roots of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})$.
2. The $\lambda$-eigenvectors of A are all the nonzero solutions to $(\lambda I-\mathrm{A}) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

## Problem

Determine all eigenvalues of $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Solution
$\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A})=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}\mathrm{x}+2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & \mathrm{x}-6 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \mathrm{x}-6 & 0 \\ -4 & -2 & 1 & \mathrm{x}-1\end{array}\right|=(\mathrm{x}+2)(\mathrm{x}-6)(\mathrm{x}-6)(\mathrm{x}-1)$.
Thus, the eigenvalues of A are $-2,6,6$ and 1 , precisely the elements on the main diagonal of A.

## Remark

In general, the eigenvalues of any triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagonal.

## Theorem

Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix.

1. A is diagonalizable if and only if $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has a basis $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ of eigenvectors of A.
2. If $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ are eigenvectors of A and form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$, then

$$
\mathrm{P}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is an invertible matrix such that

$$
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of $A$ corresponding to $\vec{x}_{i}$.

This result was covered earlier, but without the use of term basis.

## Theorem

Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix, and suppose that A has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}$. For each i, let $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be a $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$-eigenvector of A . Then $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof.
We need to show that $\mathrm{t}_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0}$ only has trivial solution $\mathrm{t}_{1}=\cdots=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$. Notice that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0} \\
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\cdots \cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0}
\end{array}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0} \\
& \mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0} \\
& \mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0} \\
& \Uparrow \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{t}_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{t}_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1}^{0} & \lambda_{1}^{1} & \cdots & \lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \\
\lambda_{2}^{0} & \lambda_{2}^{1} & \cdots & \lambda_{2}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{0} & \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{1} & \cdots & \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}-1}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

Since $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$ are distinct, the Vandermonde matrix is invertible, hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{t}_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{t}_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}} . \\
\hat{\imath} \\
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}=
\end{gathered}
$$

Only trivial solution is found. Hence, $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ is independent.

Proof. ( Another proof left for you to study )
The proof is by induction on k , the number of distinct eigenvalues.
Basis. If $\mathrm{k}=1$, then $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}\right\}$ is an independent set because $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} \neq \overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Suppose that for some $\mathrm{k} \geq 1,\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ is independent, where $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is an eigenvector of A corresponding to $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}$, and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}$ are distinct. (This is the Inductive Hypothesis.) Now suppose $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k+1}$ are distinct eigenvalues of A that have corresponding eigenvectors $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$, respectively. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}, \text { for } \mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (1) by A (on the left) gives us

Proof. (continued)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\Downarrow \\
\mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, multiplying (1) by $\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{1} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and subtracting (3) from (2) results in

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Proof. (continued)
By the inductive hypothesis, $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ is independent, so

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)=0 \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{k} .
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}$ are distinct, $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \neq 0$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, and thus $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$. Substituting these values into (1) yields

$$
t_{k+1} \vec{x}_{k+1}=\overrightarrow{0}_{n},
$$

implying that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=0$, since $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \neq \overrightarrow{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Therefore, $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right\}$ is an independent set, and the result follows by induction.

The next result is an easy consequence of the previous Theorem.
Theorem (Covered earlier, but now with a proof)
If A is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix with n distinct eigenvalues, then A is diagonalizable.
Proof.
Let $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ denote the $n$ (distinct) eigenvalues of A , and let $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be an eigenvector of A corresponding to $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$. By the previous Theorem, $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is an independent set. A subset of n linearly independent vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ also spans $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and thus $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Thus A is diagonalizable.

## Problem

Is the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -1 & 1 \\
8 & 6 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & -3
\end{array}\right]
$$

diagonalizable?

## Solution

Because A has characteristic polynomial

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}+3)(\mathrm{x}-2)(\mathrm{x}-4),
$$

A has distinct eigenvalues $-3,2$ and 4 .
Since A has three distinct eigenvalues, A is diagonalizable.

Problem (Covered earlier, but with different wording)
Is $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ diagonalizable? Explain.

Solution
First, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}-2)(\mathrm{x}+1)^{2}$, so the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=-1$, and $\lambda_{3}=-1$. Since the eigenvalues are not distinct, it isn't immediately obvious that A is diagonalizable. The general solution to $(-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{3}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll|l}
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll|l}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is $\mathrm{x}_{1}=-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{s}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{3}=\mathrm{t}$ for $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}$, leading to basic solutions

$$
\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

that are linearly independent. Therefore, there is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ consisting of eigenvectors of A , so A is diagonalizable.
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## Algebraic and Geometric Multiplicities

Lemma (Technical but useful)
Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix, with independent eigenvectors $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$. Extend $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ to a basis $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$, and let $\mathrm{P}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \ldots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\end{array}\right]$. If $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}$ are the (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues corresponding to $\vec{x}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}$, then

$$
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) & \mathrm{B} \\
0_{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \times \mathrm{k}} & \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where B is an $\mathrm{k} \times(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k})$ matrix and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ is an $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \times(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k})$ matrix.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}|\cdots| \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}\left|\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}+1}\right| \cdots \mid \mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\left[\lambda_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}|\cdots| \lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}\left|\mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right| \cdots \mid \mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{A}\left[\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}\right| \cdots \mid \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{AP}=\mathrm{P}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{\imath} \\
\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) & \mathrm{B} \\
0_{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \times \mathrm{k}} & \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{1} \\
\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) & \mathrm{B} \\
0_{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}) \times \mathrm{k}} & \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (Another proof)
Recall that $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Since $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{P}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{P} } & =\mathrm{P}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \mathrm{P}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \mathrm{P}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for each $\mathrm{j}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{P}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{j}}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Also,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP} & =\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1} & \mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2} & \cdots
\end{array} \mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.
\end{array}\right], ~ \$
$$

so the $\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}$ column of $\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}$, is equal to

$$
\mathrm{P}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{P}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{j}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\lambda_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{P}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\lambda_{\mathrm{j}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{j}} .
$$

This gives us the first k columns of $\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}$, and the result follows.

## Definition

Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The eigenspace of A corresponding to $\lambda$ is the set

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})=\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}\right\} .
$$

## Remark

1. The eigenspace $E_{\lambda}(A)$ is indeed a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ because

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})=\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}\right\}=\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid(\lambda \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\operatorname{null}(\lambda \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}) .
$$

2. If $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue of $A$, then $E_{\lambda}(A)=\{0\}$.

## Definition

1. If A is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of A , then the (algebraic) multiplicity of $\lambda$ is the largest value of $m$ for which

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}-\lambda)^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x})
$$

for some polynomial $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$, i.e., the multiplicity of $\lambda$ is the number of times that $\lambda$ occurs as a root of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})$.
2. $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})\right)$ is called the geometric multiplicity of $\lambda$.

Lemma
If A is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix, and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of A of multiplicity m , then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})\right) \leq \mathrm{m}
$$

that is,
Geometric multiplicity $\leq$ Algebraic multiplicity.

## Proof.

Let $\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})\right)$, and let $\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{d}}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})$. As a consequence, we know that there exists an invertible $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix P so that

$$
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, \ldots, \lambda) & \mathrm{B} \\
0_{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}) \times \mathrm{d}} & \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}} & \mathrm{~B} \\
0_{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}) \times \mathrm{d}} & \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $B$ is $d \times(n-d)$ and $A_{1}$ is $(n-d) \times(n-d)$.
Define $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}$. Then $\mathrm{A} \sim \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, so A and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ have the same characteristic polynomial. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cA}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(\mathrm{x}-\lambda) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}} & -\mathrm{B} \\
0(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}) \times \mathrm{d} & \mathrm{xI}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{A}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left[(\mathrm{x}-\lambda) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}\right] \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{xI}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) \\
& =(\mathrm{x}-\lambda)^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& =(\mathrm{x}-\lambda)^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda$ has multiplicity $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{m}$, and therefore $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})\right) \leq \mathrm{m}$ as required.
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## Characterizing Diagonalizable Matrices

The crucial consequence of this Lemma is the characterization of matrices that are diagonalizable.

Theorem (Covered earlier, here with new terminology)
For an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix A , the following two conditions are equivalent.

1. A is diagonalizable.
2. For each eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\mathrm{A}, \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{A})\right)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\lambda$, i.e.,

Diagonalizable
$\Uparrow$

Geometric multiplicity $=$ Algebraic multiplicity, for all $\lambda$.

Problem (Covered earlier, here with new terminology)
If possible, diagonalize the matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}3 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -3\end{array}\right]$. Otherwise, explain why A is not diagonalizable.

Solution
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}-3)(\mathrm{x}+1)^{2}$, so A has eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}=3, \lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=-1$. Find the dimension of $\mathrm{E}_{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ by solving the linear system $(-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{3}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
4 & -1 & -6 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From this, we see that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{-1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=1$. Since -1 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity two, A is not diagonalizable.

Problem (Covered earlier, here with new terminology)
Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Show that A is diagonalizable, and that B is not diagonalizable.

Solution
Both A and B are triangular matrices, so we immediately see that A and B have the same eigenvalues: $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{3}=2$. Thus for each matrix, 1 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity two.

Solving the system (I-A) $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{3}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

we see that there are two parameters in the general solution, so $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=2$. Therefore, A is diagonalizable.

Solution (continued)
Solving the system $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\overrightarrow{0}_{3}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

we see that the general solution has only one parameter, so $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{~B})\right)=1$. However, the algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda=1$ is 2 . Therefore, B is not diagonalizable.
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## Complex Eigenvalues

If a matrix has eigenvalues that have imaginary parts (and aren't simply real numbers), we can still find eigenvectors and possibly diagonalize the matrix.

Problem
Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Solution

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{xI}-\mathrm{A})=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{x}-1 & -1 \\
1 & \mathrm{x}-1
\end{array}\right|=\mathrm{x}^{2}-2 \mathrm{x}+2
$$

The roots of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{x})$ are distinct complex numbers: $\lambda_{1}=1+\mathrm{i}$ and $\lambda_{2}=1-\mathrm{i}$, so A is diagonalizable. Corresponding eigenvectors are

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\mathrm{i} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively.

Solution (continued)
A diagonalizing matrix for A is

$$
\mathrm{P}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathrm{i} & \mathrm{i} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{P}^{-1} \mathrm{AP}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathrm{i} & 0 \\
0 & 1-\mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Remark

Notice that A is a real matrix, but has complex eigenvalues (and eigenvectors).
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## Eigenvalues of Real Symmetric Matrices

## Theorem

The eigenvalues of any real symmetric matrix are real.
Proof.
Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ real symmetric matrix, and let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of A . To prove that $\lambda$ is real, it is enough to prove that $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda$, i.e., $\lambda$ is equal to its (complex) conjugate.

We use $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ to denote the matrix obtained from A by replacing each entry by its conjugate. Since A is real, $\overline{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{A}$.

Suppose

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{z}_{1} \\
\mathrm{z}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a $\lambda$-eigenvector of A . Then $\mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}=\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}$.

Proof. (continued)
Let $\mathrm{c}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{z}_{1} & \mathrm{z}_{2} & \cdots & \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\overline{\bar{z}}_{1} \\ \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{\mathrm{n}}\end{array}\right]$.
Then $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{z}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left|\mathrm{z}_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathrm{z}_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{2}$; since $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}} \neq \overrightarrow{0}, \mathrm{c}$ is a positive real number. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda c & =\lambda\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}}\right)=\left(\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}}=(\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}})^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}} \\
& =(\mathrm{A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}})^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}}=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}} \\
& =\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}} \quad(\text { since A is symmetric }) \\
& =\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{~A}} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}} \quad(\text { since A is real) } \\
& =\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\overline{\mathrm{~A} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}})=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\overline{\lambda \overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}})=\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\lambda} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}} \\
& =\bar{\lambda}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}}}\right) \\
& =\bar{\lambda} \mathrm{c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\lambda \mathrm{c}=\bar{\lambda} \mathrm{c}$. Since $\mathrm{c} \neq 0$, it follows that $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$, and therefore $\lambda$ is real.

