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## Generalizing from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$

We have learnt that for a subspace U of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$, if $\mathrm{U} \neq\{\mathbf{0}\}$, then

1. U has a basis, and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \mathrm{n}$.
2. Any independent subset of U can be extended (by adding vectors) to a basis of U.
3. Any spanning set of $U$ can be cut down (by deleting vectors) to a basis of U .


## Definition

A vector space V is finite dimensional if it is spanned by a finite set of vectors. Otherwise it is called infinite dimensional.

## Definition

A vector space V is finite dimensional if it is spanned by a finite set of vectors. Otherwise it is called infinite dimensional.

## Example

1. $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{mn}}$ are all examples of finite dimensional vector spaces
2. The zero vector space, $\{0\}$, is also finite dimensional, since it is spanned by $\{0\}$.
3. $\mathcal{P}$ is an infinite dimensional vector space.

Lemma (Independent Lemma)
Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ an independent subset of V. Suppose $\mathbf{u}$ is a vector in $V$. Then

$$
\mathbf{u} \notin \operatorname{span}(S) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad S^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{u}\right\} \text { is independent. }
$$

Lemma (Independent Lemma)
Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ an independent subset of V. Suppose $\mathbf{u}$ is a vector in $V$. Then
$\mathbf{u} \notin \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{u}\right\}$ is independent.
Proof.
Suppose that $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{au}=\mathbf{0}$.

Lemma (Independent Lemma)
Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ an independent subset of V. Suppose $\mathbf{u}$ is a vector in V . Then

$$
\mathbf{u} \notin \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathbf{u}\right\} \text { is independent. }
$$

Proof.
Suppose that $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}+\mathrm{au}=\mathbf{0}$. We claim that $\mathrm{a}=0$. Otherwise, if $a \neq 0$, then

$$
\mathrm{au}=-\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\cdots-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}},
$$

implying that

$$
\mathbf{u}=-\frac{a_{1}}{a} \mathbf{v}_{1}-\frac{a_{2}}{a} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\cdots-\frac{a_{k}}{a} \mathbf{v}_{k},
$$

i.e., $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{a}=0$.

Lemma (Independent Lemma)
Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ an independent subset of V. Suppose $\mathbf{u}$ is a vector in $V$. Then

$$
\mathbf{u} \notin \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{u}\right\} \text { is independent. }
$$

Proof.
Suppose that $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}+\mathrm{au}=\mathbf{0}$. We claim that $\mathrm{a}=0$.
Otherwise, if $\mathrm{a} \neq 0$, then

$$
\mathrm{a} \mathbf{u}=-\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\cdots-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}},
$$

implying that

$$
\mathbf{u}=-\frac{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{v}_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{a}_{2}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\cdots-\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}},
$$

i.e., $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{a}=0$.

Now $\mathrm{a}=0$ implies that $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathbf{0}$. Since S is independent, $a_{1}=a_{2}=\cdots=a_{k}=0$, and it follows that $S^{\prime}$ is independent.

## Remark

Under the setting of the Independent Lemma, for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathrm{V}$, we have indeed:
$\mathbf{u} \notin \operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{u}\right\}$ is independent.

Lemma
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. If U is any subspace of V , then any independent subset of $U$ can be extended to a finite basis of $U$.

## Lemma

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. If U is any subspace of V , then any independent subset of U can be extended to a finite basis of U .

```
Algorithm 2: Proof of Lemma
Input : 1. V: finite dimensional vector space
    2. \(\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{V}\) a subspace
    3. \(\mathrm{W}_{0} \subseteq \mathrm{U}\) an independent subset of U
\(\mathrm{W}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}\);
while \(\operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{W}\} \neq \mathrm{U}\) do
    Pick up arbitrary \(\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{U} \backslash \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{W}\}\);
    \(\{\mathbf{x}\} \cup W \rightarrow W\);
    Independent Lemma guarantees that the new W is an
    independent set;
end
Output: W, that is independent and spans U; hence a basis
    of U .
```
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## Constructing basis from independent sets by adding vectors

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space spanned by a set of m vectors.
(1) V has a finite basis, and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}) \leq \mathrm{m}$.

## Constructing basis from independent sets by adding vectors

Theorem
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space spanned by a set of m vectors.
(1) V has a finite basis, and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}) \leq \mathrm{m}$.
(2) Every independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V by adding vectors from any fixed basis of V .

## Constructing basis from independent sets by adding vectors

Theorem
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space spanned by a set of m vectors.
(1) V has a finite basis, and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}) \leq \mathrm{m}$.
(2) Every independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V by adding vectors from any fixed basis of V .
(3) If U is a subspace of V , then
(i) U is finite dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$;
(ii) every basis of U is part of a basis of V .

## Constructing basis from independent sets by adding vectors

Theorem
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space spanned by a set of m vectors.
(1) $V$ has a finite basis, and $\operatorname{dim}(V) \leq m$.
(2) Every independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V by adding vectors from any fixed basis of V .
(3) If U is a subspace of V , then
(i) U is finite dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$;
(ii) every basis of U is part of a basis of V .

## Proof.

(1) If $\mathrm{V}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, then V has dimension zero, and the (unique) basis of V is the empty set. Otherwise, choose any nonzero vector x in V and extend $\{\mathrm{x}\}$ to a finite basis B of V (by a previous Lemma). By the Fundamental Theorem, B has at most $m$ elements, so $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}) \leq \mathrm{m}$.

Proof.
(2)

```
Algorithm 3: Proof of part 2
Input : 1. V: finite dimensional vector space spanned by \(m\) vectors
    2. B: a basis of V (exists by part (1))
    3. \(\mathrm{W}_{0}\) : an independent set of vectors in V
\(\mathrm{W}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}\);
while \(\operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{W}\} \neq \mathrm{V}\) do
    Find out one \(\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{B} \backslash \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{W}\}\);
    \(\{\mathbf{x}\} \cup W \rightarrow W\);
    Independent Lemma guarantees that the new W is an independent
        set;
end
Output: W, that is independent and spans V; hence a basis of V.
```


## Proof.

(3-i) If $\mathrm{U}=\{0\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})=0 \leq \mathrm{m}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$. Otherwise, choose x to be any nonzero vector of U and extend $\{\mathrm{x}\}$ to a basis B of U (again by a previous Lemma). Since B is an independent subset of V, B has at most $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$ elements, so $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$.

Proof.
(3-i) If $\mathrm{U}=\{0\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})=0 \leq \mathrm{m}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$. Otherwise, choose x to be any nonzero vector of U and extend $\{\mathrm{x}\}$ to a basis B of U (again by a previous Lemma). Since B is an independent subset of V, B has at most $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$ elements, so $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})$.
(3-ii) If $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, then any basis of V suffices. Otherwise, any basis B of U can be extended to a basis of V : because B is independent, we apply part (2) of this theorem.

## Problem

Extend the independent set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5\end{array}\right]\right\}$ to a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

## Problem

Extend the independent set $S=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5\end{array}\right]\right\}$ to a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Solution (method 1.)
Let $\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}\right]$. Because the elementary row operations won't change row space, let's find the reduced row-echelon form of A

$$
\mathrm{R}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 7 / 5 & 2 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & 2 / 5 & 7 / 5
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$(\operatorname{row}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{row}(\mathrm{R})$.$) We need add two rows to \mathrm{R}$ to get a nonsingular matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 7 / 5 & 2 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & 2 / 5 & 7 / 5 \\
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

Solution (continued)
There are certainly multiple choices for those two rows. The simplest choice might be the following:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc}
1 & 0 & 7 / 5 & 2 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & 2 / 5 & 7 / 5 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
3 \\
4 \\
5
\end{array}\right], \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{3}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{e}}_{4}\right\}
$$

gives a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Below is a more systematical way to find all possible choices based on one basis from V

Solution (method 2.)

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc|cccc}
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cc|cccc}
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{7}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{7}{5} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we need to find four columns which include the first two columns from the six columns of $R$ to form a nonsingular matrix. Then the corresponding columns from A form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Indeed, we can choose any two columns from the last four columns. If we choose the last two columns, this will give the result from the previous answer.

## Problem

Extend the independent set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{3}+3\right\}$ to a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{3}$.

## Problem

Extend the independent set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{3}+3\right\}$ to a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{3}$.

Solution (method 1.)
Using the fact that polynomials of distinct orders are independent, we need only include missing orders. Hence: $\mathrm{B}=\left\{1, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{3}+3\right\}$.

## Problem

Extend the independent set $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{3}+3\right\}$ to a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{3}$.

Solution (method 1.)
Using the fact that polynomials of distinct orders are independent, we need only include missing orders. Hence: $\mathrm{B}=\left\{1, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{3}+3\right\}$.

## Remark

What happens if $\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{2}+3\right\}$ ?

Solution (method 2.)
Transform each vector - polynomial - to a row vector and form a matrix:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now the question is how one can add two rows to A to make it nonsingular:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is ready to check that the last two rows to be any of the following:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { or } \quad \ldots
$$

For example, if we choose make the first choice, this will give us $\{1, x\}$ as the additional two polynomials. Therefore, we obtain a basis:
$B=\left\{1, x, x^{2}-3 x+1,2 x^{3}+3\right\}$.

Solution (method 2.)
Transform each vector - polynomial - to a row vector and form a matrix:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now the question is how one can add two rows to A to make it nonsingular:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is ready to check that the last two rows to be any of the following:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { or } \quad \ldots
$$

For example, if we choose make the first choice, this will give us $\{1, x\}$ as the additional two polynomials. Therefore, we obtain a basis:
$B=\left\{1, x, x^{2}-3 x+1,2 x^{3}+3\right\}$.
Solution (method 3.)
Carry out columns-wise...

## Problem

Extend the independent set

$$
S=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

to a basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$.

## Problem

Extend the independent set

$$
S=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

to a basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$.

Solution
S can be extended to a basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$ by adding a matrix from the standard basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$. To methodically find such a matrix, try to express each matrix of the standard basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$ as a linear combination of the matrices of S . This results in four systems of linear equations, each in three variables, and these can be solved simultaneously by putting the augmented matrix in row-echelon form.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr|rrrr}
-1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr|rrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Solution (continued)
The row-echelon matrix indicates that all four systems are inconsistent, and thus any of the four matrices in the standard basis of $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ can be used to extend $S$ to an independent subset of four vectors (matrices) of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$. Let

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\} .
$$

If $\operatorname{span}(B) \neq \mathbf{M}_{22}$, then apply the Independent Lemma to get an independent set with five vectors (matrices). Since $\mathbf{M}_{22}$ is spanned by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\},
$$

this contradicts the Fundamental Theorem. Therefore $\operatorname{span}(B)=\mathbf{M}_{22}$, and B is a basis of $\mathrm{M}_{22}$.
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Subspaces of finite dimensional vector spaces

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V .

1. If $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})$.
2. If $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})$, then $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{W}$.

Subspaces of finite dimensional vector spaces

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V .

1. If $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})$.
2. If $U \subseteq W$ and $\operatorname{dim}(U)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$, then $U=W$.

This is the generalization to finite dimensional vector spaces of the corresponding result for $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

## Subspaces of finite dimensional vector spaces

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V .

1. If $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})$.
2. If $U \subseteq W$ and $\operatorname{dim}(U)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$, then $U=W$.

This is the generalization to finite dimensional vector spaces of the corresponding result for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Proof.

1. Since W is a subspace of a finite dimensional vector space, this result follows from a previous Theorem.
2. Let $B$ be a basis of $U$, and suppose $|B|=k=\operatorname{dim}(W)$. Since $U \subseteq W, B$ is an independent subset of $W$. If $\operatorname{span}(B) \neq W$, then $W$ contains an independent set of size $\mathrm{k}+1$, contradicting the Fundamental Theorem. Therefore, B is a basis of W , and thus $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{W}$.

## Problem

Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed, and let

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{a})=0\right\} .
$$

Then U is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (you should be able to prove this). Show that

$$
S=\left\{(x-a),(x-a)^{2},(x-a)^{3}, \ldots,(x-a)^{n}\right\}
$$

is a basis of U .

## Problem

Let $\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed, and let

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{a})=0\right\} .
$$

Then U is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (you should be able to prove this). Show that

$$
S=\left\{(x-a),(x-a)^{2},(x-a)^{3}, \ldots,(x-a)^{n}\right\}
$$

is a basis of U .

## Remark (Hints of the proof)

We need to show that the following:

1. Show that $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$, and that S is independent.
2. Deduce that $\mathrm{n} \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \mathrm{n}+1$.
3. Show that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})$ can not equal $\mathrm{n}+1$.

## Solution

- Each polynomial in S has a as a root, so $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$. Since U is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ it follows that $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$.
- Since the polynomials in S have distinct degrees $\left((\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ has degree i$)$, S is independent.
- Since $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

Since S is a basis of $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}), \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}))=\mathrm{n} ;$ also, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{n}+1$, and thus $\mathrm{n} \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \leq \mathrm{n}+1$.

- Finally, if $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{n}+1$, then $\mathrm{U}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, implying that every polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ has a as a root. However, $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}+1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ but $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}+1 \notin \mathrm{U}$, so $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}) \neq \mathrm{n}+1$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{n}$.
We now have $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S}))=\mathrm{n}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})$. By a previous Theorem, $\mathrm{U}=\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})$, and hence S is a basis of U .
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Lemma (Dependent Lemma)
Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{D}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ a subset of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{k} \geq 2$. Then D is dependent if and only if there is some vector in D that is a linear combination of the other vectors in D .

## Lemma (Dependent Lemma)

Let V be a vector space and $\mathrm{D}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ a subset of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{k} \geq 2$. Then D is dependent if and only if there is some vector in D that is a linear combination of the other vectors in D .

Proof.
" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose that D is dependent. Then

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

for some $\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \in \mathbb{R}$ not all equal to zero. Note that we may assume that $\mathrm{t}_{1} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} & =-\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}-\cdots-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}}{\mathrm{t}_{1}} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{3}}{\mathrm{t}_{1}} \mathbf{v}_{3}-\cdots-\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{t}_{1}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

## Proof. (continued)

" $\Leftarrow$ " Conversely, assume that some vector in D is a linear combination of the other vectors of $D$. We may assume that $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{v}_{1}=\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathrm{s}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}+\cdots+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for some $s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots, s_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, implying that

$$
1 \mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\mathrm{s}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}-\cdots-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Thus there is a nontrivial linear combination of the vectors of D that vanishes, so D is dependent.

## Proof. (continued)

$" \Leftarrow$ " Conversely, assume that some vector in D is a linear combination of the other vectors of $D$. We may assume that $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{v}_{1}=\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathrm{s}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}+\cdots+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

for some $s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots, s_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, implying that

$$
1 \mathbf{v}_{1}-\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}-\mathrm{s}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{3}-\cdots-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Thus there is a nontrivial linear combination of the vectors of D that vanishes, so D is dependent.

Suppose $U=\operatorname{span}(S)$ for some set of vectors $S$. If $S$ is dependent, then we can find a vector $\mathbf{v}$ in $S$ that is a linear combination of the other vectors of S . Deleting v from S results if a set T with $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{T})=\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{U}$.

Constructing basis from spanning sets by deleting vectors

## Constructing basis from spanning sets by deleting vectors

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Then any spanning set S of V can be cut down to a basis of $V$ by deleting vectors of $S$.

## Constructing basis from spanning sets by deleting vectors

## Theorem

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Then any spanning set S of V can be cut down to a basis of V by deleting vectors of S .

Proof.

```
Algorithm 6: Proof of Theorem
Input : 1. V: finite dimensional vector space spanned by \(m\) vectors
        3. S: a spanning set of V
\(\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}\);
while W is dependent do
    Find out one \(\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{W}\) that can be linearly represented by the rest;
    \(\mathrm{W} \backslash\{\mathbf{x}\} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}\);
    Dependent Lemma guarantees that the span of the new W remains
        to be V;
end
Output: W, that is independent and spans V; hence a basis of V.
```


## Problem

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
2 & 0 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{X}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & -2
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathrm{X}_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 2 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{X}_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 2 \\
2 & -3
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}, \mathrm{X}_{5}\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{M}_{22}$. Find a basis of $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ from among the elements of U .

Problem
Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
2 & 0 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{X}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & -2
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathrm{X}_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 2 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{X}_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 2 \\
2 & -3
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}, \mathrm{X}_{5}\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{M}_{22}$. Find a basis of $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ from among the elements of U .

Solution
Since U has five matrices and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{M}_{22}\right)=4, \mathrm{U}$ is dependent. Suppose

$$
\mathrm{aX}_{1}+\mathrm{bX}_{2}+\mathrm{cX}_{3}+\mathrm{dX}_{4}+\mathrm{eX}_{5}=\mathbf{0}_{22}
$$

This gives us a homogeneous system of four equations in five variables, whose general solution is

$$
\mathrm{a}=-\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{b}=\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{c}=-\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{t} ; \quad \mathrm{d}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{t}, \quad \text { for } \mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Solution (continued)
Taking $\mathrm{t}=3$ gives us

$$
-4 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}-2 \mathrm{X}_{3}+3 \mathrm{X}_{5}=\mathbf{0}_{22}
$$

From this, we see that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{5}$.

Let

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}, \mathrm{X}_{5}\right\}
$$

Then $\operatorname{span}(B)=\operatorname{span}(U)=\mathbf{M}_{22}$. If B is not independent, then apply the Dependent Lemma to find a subset of three matrices of B that spans $\mathbf{M}_{22}$. Since

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

is an independent subset of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$, this contradicts the Fundamental Theorem. Therefore B is independent, and hence is a basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$.

Theorem (Generalization of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ )
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})=\mathrm{n}$, and suppose S is a subset of V containing n vectors. Then S is independent if and only if S spans V.

Theorem (Generalization of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ )
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})=\mathrm{n}$, and suppose S is a subset of V containing n vectors. Then S is independent if and only if S spans V.

Proof.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose S is independent. Since every independent set of V can be extended to a basis of V , there exists a basis B of V with $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{B}$. However, $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{B}|=\mathrm{n}$, and therefore $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., S is a basis of V . In particular, this implies that S spans V .

Theorem (Generalization of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ )
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})=\mathrm{n}$, and suppose S is a subset of V containing n vectors. Then S is independent if and only if S spans V.

Proof.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose S is independent. Since every independent set of $V$ can be extended to a basis of V , there exists a basis B of V with $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{B}$. However, $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{B}|=\mathrm{n}$, and therefore $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., S is a basis of V . In particular, this implies that S spans V .
$(\Leftarrow)$ Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{V}$. Since every spanning set of V can be cut down to a basis of V , there exists a basis B of V with $\mathrm{B} \subseteq \mathrm{S}$. However, $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{B}|=\mathrm{n}$, and therefore $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., S is a basis of V . In particular, this implies that S is an independent set of V .

Theorem (Generalization of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ )
Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})=\mathrm{n}$, and suppose S is a subset of V containing n vectors. Then S is independent if and only if S spans V.

Proof.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose S is independent. Since every independent set of V can be extended to a basis of V , there exists a basis B of V with $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{B}$. However, $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{B}|=\mathrm{n}$, and therefore $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., S is a basis of V . In particular, this implies that S spans V .
$(\Leftarrow)$ Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{span}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{V}$. Since every spanning set of V can be cut down to a basis of V , there exists a basis B of V with $\mathrm{B} \subseteq \mathrm{S}$. However, $|\mathrm{S}|=\mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{B}|=\mathrm{n}$, and therefore $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., S is a basis of V . In particular, this implies that S is an independent set of V .

## Remark

This theorem can be used to simplify the arguments used in various problems covered.

## Problem

Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ among the elements of the set

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+2, \quad 1-2 \mathrm{x}, \quad 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}+1, \quad 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{x}-3\right\} .
$$

## Problem

Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ among the elements of the set

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+2, \quad 1-2 \mathrm{x}, \quad 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}+1, \quad 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{x}-3\right\}
$$

Solution
Since $|\mathrm{U}|=4>3=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, U is dependent.
Suppose $a\left(x^{2}-3 x+2\right)+b(1-2 x)+c\left(2 x^{2}+1\right)+d\left(2 x^{2}-x-3\right)=0$; then

$$
(\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{c}+2 \mathrm{~d}) \mathrm{x}^{2}+(-3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{x}+(2 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}-3 \mathrm{~d})=0
$$

This leads to a system of three equations in four variables that can be solved using gaussian elimination.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|r}
1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\
-3 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrrr|r}
1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus $\mathrm{a}=-2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}=3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{d}=0$ for any $\mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, since each row of the reduced row-echelon matrix has a leading one, U spans $\mathcal{P}_{2}$.

Solution (continued)
Let $\mathrm{t}=-1$. Then

$$
2\left(x^{2}-3 x+2\right)-3(1-2 x)-\left(2 x^{2}+1\right)=0
$$

so any one of $\left\{x^{2}-3 x+2,1-2 x, 2 x^{2}+1\right\}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the other two. Let's remove $\mathrm{x}^{2}-3 \mathrm{x}+2$. Hence, set

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{1-2 \mathrm{x}, 2 \mathrm{x}^{2}+1,2 \mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{x}-3\right\}
$$

Then $\operatorname{span}(B)=\operatorname{span}(U)=\mathcal{P}_{2}$. Since $|B|=3=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, it follows from that B is independent. Therefore, $\mathrm{B} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$.

## Problem

Let $\mathrm{V}=\left\{\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{M}_{22} \mid \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{A}\right\}$. Then V is a vector space. Find a basis of V consisting of invertible matrices.

## Problem

Let $\mathrm{V}=\left\{\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{M}_{22} \mid \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{A}\right\}$. Then V is a vector space. Find a basis of V consisting of invertible matrices.

## Remark

Note that V is the set of $2 \times 2$ symmetric matrices, so

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b} \\
\mathrm{~b} & \mathrm{c}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

From this, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V})=3$. (Why?) Thus, a basis of V consisting of invertible matrices will consist of three independent symmetric invertible matrices.

Solution
There are many solutions. Let

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The matrix B is invertible, so one approach is to take linear combinations of A and C to produce two independent invertible matrices; for example

$$
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{S}=\{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}\}$ is an independent subset of $2 \times 2$ invertible symmetric matrices. Since $|\mathrm{S}|=3=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}), \mathrm{S}$ spans V and is therefore a basis of V .

## Generalizing from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$

Constructing basis from independent sets by adding vectors

Subspaces of finite dimensional vector spaces

Constructing basis from spanning sets by deleting vectors

Sums and Intersections

Sums and Intersections

## Sums and Intersections

## Definition

Let V be a vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V . Then

1. $U+W=\{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U$ and $\mathbf{w} \in W\}$ and is called the sum of $U$ and W .

## Sums and Intersections

## Definition

Let V be a vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V . Then

1. $U+W=\{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U$ and $\mathbf{w} \in W\}$ and is called the sum of $U$ and $W$.
2. $U \cap W=\{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{W}\}$ and is called the intersection of U and W .
3. If U and W are subspaces of a vector space V and $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, then the sum of U and W is call the direct sum and is denoted $\mathrm{U} \oplus \mathrm{W}$.

## Sums and Intersections

## Definition

Let V be a vector space, and let U and W be subspaces of V . Then

1. $U+W=\{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U$ and $\mathbf{w} \in W\}$ and is called the sum of $U$ and W .
2. $U \cap W=\{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in U$ and $\quad \mathbf{v} \in W\}$ and is called the intersection of $U$ and W .
3. If U and W are subspaces of a vector space V and $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, then the sum of U and W is call the direct sum and is denoted $\mathrm{U} \oplus \mathrm{W}$.

Lemma
Prove that both $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ and $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$ are subspaces of V .

Proof. (of $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ )

1. Since $U$ and $W$ are subspaces of $V, \mathbf{0}$, the zero vector of $V$, is an element of both $U$ and $W$. Since $\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0} \in U+W$.
2. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2} \in \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$. Then $\mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}=\mathbf{u}_{2}+\mathbf{w}_{2}$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2} \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{2} \in \mathrm{~W}$. It follows that

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1}+\mathbf{x}_{2}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}_{2}+\mathbf{w}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $U$ and $W$ are subspaces of $V, \mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \in U$ and $\mathbf{w}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{2} \in W$, and therefore $\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2} \in \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$.
3. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1} \in \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ and $\mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{1}$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{1} \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{1} \in W$. It follows that $k \mathbf{x}_{1}=k\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{1}\right)=\left(k \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)+\left(k \mathbf{w}_{1}\right)$. Since $U$ and W are subspaces of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{k} \mathbf{u}_{1} \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{kw}_{1} \in \mathrm{~W}$, and therefore $\mathrm{kx}_{1} \in \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$.
By the Subspace Test, $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ is a subspace of V .

## Theorem

If U and W are finite dimensional subspaces of a vector space V , then $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ is finite dimensional and

$$
\operatorname{dim}(U+W)=\operatorname{dim}(U)+\operatorname{dim}(W)-\operatorname{dim}(U \cap W) .
$$

Remark
V need not be finite dimensional!


Proof.
$\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$ is a subspace of the finite dimensional vector space U , so is finite dimensional, and has a finite basis $\mathrm{X}=\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}\right\}$. Since $\mathrm{X} \subseteq \mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$, X can be extended to a finite basis $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{U}}$ of U and a finite basis $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{W}}$ of W :
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{U}}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$.
Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{w}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}=\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W} .
$$

Proof. (continued)
What remains is to prove that

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}
$$

is a basis of $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}$ since then it implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W})=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U})+\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})-\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{~W}) \\
\hat{\imath} \\
\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{p}=(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{m})+(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{p})-\mathrm{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof. (continued)

To prove B is linearly independent, we need to show that

$$
\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathbf{w}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathbf{0} .
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\underbrace{\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}_{\in \mathrm{U}}=\underbrace{-\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathbf{w}_{1}-\cdots-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{p}}}_{\in \mathrm{W}}
$$

Hence,

1. LHS $\in U \cap W$, which implies that $\mathrm{s}_{1}=\cdots=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}}=0$.
2. $\mathrm{RHS} \in \mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$, which implies that $\mathrm{t}_{1}=\cdots=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}=0$.

Finally,

$$
\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

implies that $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{d}=0$. This proves that $B$ is independent.

