ERRATUM


In the abstract of this article, session length was incorrectly reported as 22 hr. The sessions described in this paper were only 2 hr in length. This is correctly noted in the Method section of the manuscript.

Further, the figure shown in Figure 7 of the original article was not the correct version. The corrected version has been printed below.

**Figure 7.** Frequency distributions of responses per visit for a single subject (Rat 105) undergoing three different transitions. The magnitude of the transition is shown above each pair of columns. The left and right columns within each pair show frequency distributions for responses on the lean and rich alternatives, respectively. The top row shows histograms from the first 30 min of a session during which the programmed ratio of reinforcers for each alternative was 1:1. The second row shows frequency distributions during the transition period (30–90 min.). At 30 min, the programmed ratios changed from 1:1 to 1:4, 1:16, or 1:32. The bottom row shows data from the last 30 min of a session. The geometric mean and standard deviation (both in units of responses per visit) for each distribution are given in parentheses. Distributions exclude responses that occurred during the changeover delays.