

## Ancient Method for Calculating Square Roots

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and one is interested in finding a point of the function so that  $f(p) = p$ . Such a point is called a fixed point of the function. Under certain continuity conditions, if one selects a starting value  $x_0$  and iterates the function to obtain successive iterates:

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to the fixed point  $p$ . Conditions that imply this convergence include the following: that  $f$  be continuously differentiable around  $p$  and that  $|f'(p)| < 1$ . [I'll discuss this in class.]

It is conjectured (under questionable assumptions) that (circa 1,500 BCE) the Babylonians used an iterative process on the following function to calculate the square root of a number  $a$ :

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right).$$

It is thought that the reasoning went something like this: if  $x_n$  is a guess for  $\sqrt{a}$  and  $x_n$  is too large, then note that  $\frac{a}{x_n}$  will be too small, so the average of the two should be a better guess; similarly, if  $x_n$  is too small, then  $\frac{a}{x_n}$  will be too large.

Show that  $p = \sqrt{a}$  is a fixed point of the iteration function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x + \frac{a}{x} \right)$  and show that

$$|f'(\sqrt{a})| < 1.$$

Around 100 CE the Greek mathematician Heron showed that this method works - it is often called Heron's method for calculating the square root of a number.

Show that this iterative process is equivalent to applying Newton's method for finding the roots of an equation to the equation  $x^2 - a = 0$ .

Additional exercises.

1. Suppose a Babylonian scribe wanted to calculate  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  and he uses the square root as a model to obtain:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_n + \frac{a}{x_n^2} \right).$$

Check to make sure that the fixed point of the iterating function is the desired root; then determine if this method would work.

2. Repeat exercise 1 for the following iteration processes, in each of these cases a “weighted” average was used:

$$(a.) \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( x_n + 2 \frac{a}{x_n^2} \right)$$

$$(b.) \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 2x_n + \frac{a}{x_n^2} \right).$$

3. Of the three iteration processes, which is the most efficient and why do you think so.